CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 2020

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER, ROOM 525

200 NORTH SPRING STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

Item No. VTT-73718 CPC-2014-4705-ZC-HD-DB-MCUP-CU-SPR

CEQA No. ENV-20144706-EIR; SCH No. 2015111073

Elva Nufio-O'Donnell, City Planner Alan Como, Hearing Officer

Applicant: Riley Realty, L.P.

Representative: Kyndra J. Casper, DLA Piper, LLP

Reported by (audio portion): Jaclyn Kinsbursky,

CSR No. 13858

MR. COMO: Good morning. This is Alan Como, planning staff. The request before the Deputy Advisory Agency is a vesting tentative tract map stamp dated May 14th, 2020, which includes the merger and re-subdivision of seven lots -- excuse me, stamp dated -- yeah, May 14th, 2020, which includes the merger and re-subdivision of 7 lots into one master ground lot and 27 airspace lots for a mixed-use development on a approximately 1.16 acre.

2.0

That's 50,322 square foot site and a haul route for the export of approximately 41,994 cubic yards soil in conjunction with the project for a mixed-use development with up to 316,948 square feet floor area within a new 20-story tower, building 1, and a new three-story building, building 2, on an approximately 1.16-acre site.

Building 1 would include up to 197
multi-family residential units, 136 hotel guest rooms
and approximately 12,570 square feet of commercial
restaurant uses. Building 2 would include up to 13
residential units. Additionally before the Deputy
Advisory Agency for consideration is a vesting
tentative tract map stamp dated July 27th, 2020, for
the merger and re-subdivision of four lots into one
master ground lot for condominium purposes and five

airspace lots for a mixed-use development on an approximately .90-acre.

2.

2.0

That's 39,375 square foot portion of the overall 1.16-acre project site and a haul route for the export of 23,833 cubic yards of soil in conjunction with modified alternative 2, which is identified in the final EIR, which is for a mixed-use development up to 316,948 square feet of floor area within a new 30-story tower building 1 and two existing one- and two-story single-family buildings, which addresses 1765 and 1771 Vista Del Mar Avenue.

Building 1 would include up to 269
multi-family residential units, 17 of which would be
set aside for very low income households and
approximately 7,760 square feet of commercial and
restaurant uses. Regarding case number VTT73718,
reports were received from the Bureau of Engineering,
Department of Building and Safety Grading, LAFD, LADWP
Bureau of Street Lighting and Department of Rec and
Parks.

Their comments have been incorporated as conditions of approval. After the draft staff report was prepared, additional comments were received from LADOT addressing conditions of approval and haul route conditions in two separate letters that are dated

August 17th, 2020. Those conditions have been given to the Deputy Advisory Agency and will be added to the letter of determination for this case.

2.0

Additionally, Bureau of Street Lighting submitted a revised comment letter on August 17th, 2020, with the revision to their condition, which will also be reflected in the letter of determination.

We have a member of Bureau of Street Lighting staff, Gil Delacruz, with us today who can also address that and make sure that that condition is addressed and read into the record.

Additionally the Department of Rec and Park submitted a corrected condition, which has been received and will be incorporated in the letter of determination, which has also been given to the Deputy Advisory for their review, and we have a member of Rec and Park staff with us who can also address that.

The Deputy Advisory Agency has a copy of these additional letters that were received. Planning staff has received five e-mails with concerns about review time, the modified alternative, police impact, solid waste impacts, seismic issues and disagreement with the responses to the comments in the final EIR. One of these e-mails remain opposed to the project, but encourage support of modified alternative 2.

Planning staff has received approximately 14 e-mails in support of modified alternative 2. All of these e-mails have been made available to the Deputy Advisory Agency for review. Staff would like to respond to a letter received as an attachment to one of the above-referenced e-mails from Casey Maddren on August 18th, 2020.

2.0

The letter includes no new substantial evidence nor presents deficiencies in the environmental impact report, which are substantiated by evidence. In addition, the commenter fails to present substantial evidence that the proposed project would necessitate a new facility. As discussed in the draft EIR, significant impacts under CEQA consist of adverse changes in any of the physical conditions within the area of -- and potential impacts on emergency response times are not an environmental impact that CEQA requires a project to mitigate.

In the City of Hayward versus Board of
Trustees of California State University, 2015, the
court found Section 35 of Article 13 of the California
constitution requires local agencies to provide public
safety services, and that it is reasonable to assume
that the city will comply with that provision to ensure
that public safety services are provided.

Finally, the commenter includes a discussion about solid waste, but includes no new substantial evidence, nor presents deficiencies in the environmental impact report, which are substantiated by evidence. The project would comply with City Sanitation and Building and Safety requirements, which per ordinance 181519 require compliance with AB939. Staff would also like to respond to a letter received as an attachment to one of the above-referenced e-mails -- actually, it wasn't from an e-mail. It was hand-delivered -- from J.H. McQuiston, which was delivered to department staff on August 18th, 2020. The letter includes no new substantial evidence, nor presents deficiencies in the environmental impact report, which are substantiated by evidence.

2.0

The department of -- division has reviewed the tract map and recommends conditions in geology report approval letter dated February 20th, 2015, which is log number 85579-01, and the soils report approval letter dated October 24th, 2019, which is log number 110300. Additional information is available in the draft EIR and in the subdivision findings. The project applicant submitted an application to the governor for certification of the project as an environmental leadership development project, which is known as ELDP

under AB900.

2.0

On July 27th, 2017, the governor certified the project as ELDP, which includes certification that the project would result in at least \$100 million in economic investment in the state, provide high wage, highly-skilled jobs, qualified for LEED silver certification to be located on an infill site and to meet stringent energy and transportation efficiency standards.

In addition, the whole of the administrative record has been made available on the city's website. Planning department staff recommends that the advisory agency certify the environmental impact report prepared for this project and approve vesting tract number 73718 subject to the standard conditions, an additional and revised condition submitted by LADOT, Bureau Street Lighting and Rec and Parks. Those letters have already been previously referenced.

In addition, this is the scheduled public hearing for associated case CPC-2014-4705-ZC-HD-DB-MCUP-CU-SPR involving property located at 1756 and 1760 North Argyle Avenue, 6210 through 6224 West Yucca Street and 1765, 1771, 1779, 1777 and 1779 North Vista Del Mar Avenue. The project consists of construction and operation of a mixed-use

development with up to 316,948 square feet of floor area within a new 20-story building, tower building 1, and a new three-story building 2 on an approximately 1.16-acre site. Building 1 would include up to 197 multi-family residential units, 136 hotel guest rooms and approximately 12,570 square feet of commercial restaurant uses.

2.0

Building 2 would include up to 13 residential units. Six levels of subterranean aboveground automobile parking would be located within the podium structure of building 1 and the two-level parking structure within building 2. Six existing residential buildings containing 44 residential units would be removed from the project site.

The project is a environmental leadership development project. This case involves environmental impact report, which includes draft EIR ENV-2014-4706-EIR, which is state clearing house number 2015111073, dated April 2020 and the final EIR dated August 7th, 2020, as well as the whole of the administrative record.

Case CPC-2014-4705-ZC-HD-DB-MCUP-CU-SPR involves the approval of a zone change and height district change from C4-2D-SN to (T)(Q)C2-2D-SN from R4-2D to (T)(Q)C2-2D and from [Q]R3-1XL to (T)(Q)R3-2D,

a master conditional use permit to allow for the sale and dispensing of alcohol for on-site consumption at five establishments within the hotel and commercial uses of the project site, a conditional use permit to allow for live entertainment and dancing within the commercial uses of the project site, a conditional use permit to allow a hotel within 500 feet of an R zone, a conditional use permit for a major development project for a building, which contains more than 100,000 square feet of nonresidential floor area in a C zone, and to allow a 10 percent increase in the allowable floor area ratio for the project up to 6.61 FAR and a site plan review for development project, which creates over 50,000 square feet of nonresidential floor area and over 50 dwelling units.

2.

2.0

In addition, the hearing officer will also take testimony on behalf of the city planning commission for modified alternative 2, which again identified in the final EIR, which consists of the construction and operation of the mixed-use development with up to 316,948 square feet of floor area within a new 30-story tower referenced this building 1 and two existing one- and two-story single-family buildings at 1765 and 1771 Vista Del Mar Avenue on an approximately 1.16-acre site.

Building 1 would consist of up to 269
multi-family residential units, 17 of which would be
set aside for very low income households and
approximately 7,760 square feet of commercial and
restaurant uses. The residences -- the residence at
1771 Vista Del Mar Avenue would remain as a
single-family use, and the residence at 1765 Vista Del
Mar Avenue, which currently contains three residential
units, would be converted back to a single-family use.
Five levels of subterranean and aboveground automobile
parking would be located within the podium structure of
building 1, and surface parking would be provided for
the two single-family residences. Four existing
residential buildings containing 40 residential units
would be removed from the project site.

2.

2.0

Modified alternative 2, there's also an ELDP project. Modified alternative 2 includes environmental impact report ENV-2014-4706-EIR state clearinghouse number 2015111073 dated April 20th -- sorry, dated April 2020 and the final EIR dated August 7th, 2020, as well as the whole of the administrative record. The following requested entitlements: A zone change and height district change from C4-2D-SN to (T)(Q)C2-2D-SN, from R4-2D to (T)(Q)C2-2D and from [Q]R3-1XL to (T)(Q)R3-2D, a density bonus compliance review for a

housing development project totaling up to 271 dwelling units and reserving 8 percent or 17 percent of the dwelling units of its units as very low income restricted affordable units for a period of 55 years with the following requested incentive: An on-menu incentive to permit a 10 percent increase in allowable floor area, for 316,948 square feet of floor area in lieu of the maximum 288,171 square feet otherwise permitted, a master conditional use permit to allow for the sale and dispensing of alcohol for on-site consumption at three establishments within the commercial uses of the project, a conditional use permit to allow for live entertainment and dancing within the commercial uses of the project site and a site plan review for development project, which creates over 50 dwelling units.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Again, my name is Alan Como. I am the hearing officer assigned to this case who will be conducting the hearing for this case on behalf of the city planning commission. People wishing to speak or submit written testimony about this case should do so during this hearing. After this hearing, I will prepare a written staff report containing the planning department's recommendations to the city planning commission.

As stated earlier, no decision is being made on the CPC case today. After this hearing, a written staff report -- which will include a summary of the public comments from the meeting and any written comments submitted to the file. The report will also contain the planning department's recommendation on the project to the city planning commission.

2.

2.0

This case is currently scheduled to go before the city planning commission on the September 24th meeting. If you wish to be informed of the decision of the meeting, a courtesy notice will be provided 21 days prior to the commission meeting date to anyone who provides their name and e-mail or mailing address among the interested parties, which can be accessed by visiting tinyurl.com/interested-parties.

Copies of the report will be made available on the planning department website prior to the commission meeting. The commission meeting will be a public hearing. The commission may permit limited additional testimony on this case at that time, but today's hearing is established to meet the legal notice and requirements prior to the commission meeting taking action as well as for obtaining public input for preparation of the staff report.

If anyone wishes to submit additional

information to the commission or take exception to the staff reporter's recommendation, they should do so in writing prior to the commission meeting. Information about the date and location of the commission meeting along with instructions about where to send written communications will be provided on the cover sheet of the staff report.

2.

2.0

The city planning commission will be making a recommendation to the city council regarding the zone change, height district change. The city planning commission will be making the decision -- initial decision regarding density bonus, master conditional use permit, conditional use permit and site planning. The decision on the master condition of use permit, conditional use and site plan review will be final unless repealed to the city council as well as the density bonus. This concludes the staff presentation.

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you, Alan. Would any of the committee members like to comment on the staff report or have any questions for staff? Hearing none? Okay. Hearing none, we will now hear from the applicant's representative. Please state your name clearly and then proceed and then you will hear a message that states you are unmuted.

As a friendly reminder to those listening in,

1 if you would like to follow along with the applicant's 2. presentations, please do so from your own devices using 3 the first page of the meeting agenda or by visiting 4 Tiny Url. Now I will hear the public testimony. Based on the number of participants, I'm going to limit the 5 6 time to three minutes each. 7 I appreciate your patience as we adjust to the virtual hearing format. The hearing officer will now 8 present instructions and announce the number of 9 speakers. 10 11 MR. McFAYE: Did we have applicant testimony? 12 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: I'm sorry. I apologize. 13 Let's go back. The applicant, would you like to present your presentation now? Is the applicant 14 15 available for the presentation? 16 MR. BRADY: Hi. Can you hear me? 17 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes. 18 MR. BRADY: This is Andrew Brady from DLA 19 Piper. Kyndra Casper was going to do the presentation, but I don't know if she's been muted on her end. 2.0 21 tried to press star six and she hasn't been able to get 22 on. 23 MS. CASPER: Hi. This is Kyndra Casper. 24 you hear me now? 25 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes, we can hear you.

Go ahead.

2.0

MS. CASPER: Wonderful. Good morning to the advisory agency and committee and the hearing officer. My name is Kyndra Casper of DLA Piper, and I represent the applicant Riley Realty. I would like to give a brief presentation today on the project and modified alternative 2, and I will try not to go over the things that have already been gone over by Mr. Alan Como who did an excellent job, and we appreciate all of his hard work.

For everybody who's following along on the slide show, I'm looking at slide one, which is just the introductory slide for the 6220 Yucca project. Moving on to slide two, slide two is an overview of the project site. The project is located on Yucca and Argyle Avenue in Hollywood. You can see here that the project is in close proximity to several different types of transit, including the Hollywood and Vine Metro line, which is only a couple blocks away from the project.

The project is also located -- besides being in a transit rich area, it's in an area that also includes a number of office, commercial, hotel, residential uses that fit in nicely with the project and show that the project is compatible with the

various surroundings of the project site. Moving on to slide number three, you can see a close-up of the project site here on the intersection of Argyle Avenue and Yucca. As you can see the, the current zoning of the site on the slide, we call them three parcels.

There's C4-2D-SN parcel, the R4-2D parcel and then the [Q]R3-1XL. The project site on the slide is outlined in blue.

2.0

Moving on to slide four, slide four is a rendering of the project that was presented in the draft EIR. As you can see, this project consists of two buildings, a tower building and then a low-rise residential building on the corner of Vista Del Mar Avenue. Moving on to slide five, this just shows the project facts related to the project presented in the draft EIR. It is mixed-use project consisting of two buildings.

The first is a mix of residential, hotel and commercial and restaurant uses, 20 stories in height, 197 new dwelling units, 136 hotel rooms and approximately 12,570 square feet of commercial and restaurant uses. Building 2, the low-rise building from the previous slide, was a residential only building approximately 47 feet tall, three stories. That would include 13 dwelling units.

The total for the project that was presented in the draft EIR would be 210 units, 136 hotel rooms and approximately 12,570 square feet of commercial and restaurant uses. The FAR is 6.6:1, and the parking for that project would be provided in a six-level podium structure with two fully subterranean levels, two semi-subterranean levels and two aboveground levels.

2.

2.0

Moving on to slide six, as I noted, the project that was presented in the draft EIR, the draft EIR was circulate for public comment and review. We've received a number of comments, and the general feedback related to the project was as follows: There were several comments that were requesting affordable housing be added to the project. Also to note the other requests included not demolishing the two residential buildings located on Vista Del Mar, which are part of the Vista Del Mar Carlos historic district, but are noncontributing structures.

The draft EIR project would demolish those two residential buildings and replace them with the low-rise residential building that has 13 units.

Another feedback was to have no or very limited construction in the Vista Del Mar Carlos historic district to further protect the district, and after review of the public comments as well city planning

requested that we consider alternative 2 as presented in the draft EIR as well as preserving those residential buildings located in the Vista Del Mar historic district.

2.

2.0

After getting all of that feedback, we went back to the drawing board, and I'm moving on to slide seven. The project applicant is also proposing modified alternative 2. Slide seven is a rendering of modified alternative 2. This -- this modified alternative 2 was presented in the final EIR that was posted several days before this hearing.

This proposed modified alternative -- modified alternative 2 took into account all the feedback that we received from the community and city as well. As you can see in this rendering, we only have one building, and we are no longer demolishing the noncontributing residences along Vista Del Mar Avenue. If you move to slide number eight, this is just another rendering of the proposed modified alternative 2.

Moving on to slide nine to discuss further the facts about proposed modified alternative, it would only be one mixed-use residential and commercial building approximately 30 stories in height. There would be a total of 269 new dwelling units, which would include 17 very low income affordable units. These

were included based on the community feedback and feedback from the city. Further, 252 of the new dwelling units would be subject to the RSO.

2.0

The project site as noted by Alan Como has 43 rent-controlled units on the site. And because of that, we will be replacing those units and the entirety of the new dwelling units will be subject to the RSO except for the 17 very low income affordable units. The project also includes approximately 7,760 square feet of restaurant and commercial uses.

Like the project, the floor area ratio remains at 6.6 to 1. Again, this preserves the two existing residences at 765 and 771 Vista Del Mar that are noncontributing structures within the Vista Del Mar Carlos district, and it replaces the surface parking lot that's on the corner of Yucca Street and Vista Del Mar with a public landscaped open space.

If you move on to slide ten, you can see the landscape site plan for modified alternative 2. You can see how on the corner of Yucca Street and Vista Del Mar that used to be -- currently as it exists today is a surface parking lot, and the project proposes to turn that into a publicly accessible landscaped open space. So that also is responding to the community feedback we've received from the city.

As you can see, the two existing homes will remain, the noncontributing structures and the park will be constructed, so the only construction that will occur in the historic district is construction at the park. Moving on to slide number 11, these are the proposed modified alternative 2 elevations from the north and south.

2.0

Moving on to slide 12, these are the proposed elevations for modified alternative 2 from the east and the west. Moving on to slide 13, there's just a side-by-side picture so you see the draft EIR project on the left, which includes the two buildings and the demolition of the two residences on Vista Del Mar to be replaced by the three-story residential building, and on the right side of the slide you can see proposed modified alternative 2, which is just the one singular building, which retains the two noncontributing structures on Vista Del Mar and also includes the publicly accessible open space on the corner of Vista Del Mar.

Moving on to slide 14 as Alan Como had mentioned in his opening remarks, the project and modified alternative 2 are considered environmental leadership development projects. The criteria for those -- there's a number of criteria, but a few things

to note: You have to have a minimum \$100 million vestment California, create high wage, highly-skilled jobs meeting prevailing wage and living requirements. The project has to be net zero and GHG emissions. You have to build to a LEED certification standard or better.

2.0

In this case, this project was certified when the requirements were for LEED silver, and you have to have transportation efficiency. What that looks at is vehicle miles traveled, or otherwise known as VMT. The requirement in the ELDP law is that the project has to reduce the VMTs by 10 percent, but this project actually reduces the VMTs by approximately 30 percent.

Moving on to slide 15, this is just some sustainability features of the project. We will exceed the Title 24 energy efficiency standard by a minimum of 5 percent using LED lighting and other energy-efficient lighting technologies, reducing indoor water use by a minimum of 35 percent, reducing outdoor water use by a minimum of 50 percent, and the project will provide a minimum of 30 kilowatts of solar panels as well.

Moving on to slide 15, the project entered into a project labor agreement in February 2017. This project labor agreement or also known as a PLA ensures the project will create high wage, highly-skilled jobs

that pay prevailing and living wages. Also the project labor agreement includes that the project will participate in the Helmets To Hardhats program, which is a program that employs veterans. Both the project and modified alternative 2 are committed to all of these sustainability features -- the PLA, et cetera.

2.0

Moving on to slide 17, this is the slide that contains the entitlements for the draft EIR. I will briefly go through them since Alan Como went through them in detail earlier. The draft EIR project entitlements include a vesting tentative tract map, zone change and height district change, master conditional use permit, offer alcohol, a conditional use permit to allow live entertainment and dancing, condition use permit to allow a hotel within 500 feet of an R zone, a conditional use permit for a major development project and a site plan review.

Moving on to slide 18, these are the entitlements for the proposed modified alternative 2. They're very similar to the project, the same zone change and height district change, a different entitlement is the density bonus compliance review for including the 17 very low income units. We will be requesting one on the new incentive to permit a 10 percent increase on the allowable floor area, a master

conditional use permit for the sale of alcohol, conditional use permit for live entertainment and dancing, site plan review and a vesting tentative tract map.

2.0

Moving on to slide 19, this slide is just a picture of the vesting tentative tract map number 73718. This is the tract map for modified alternative 2, which is the project that the applicant would prefer to move forward with. As you can see, we're asking for one ground lot for the high-rise building as well as airspace lots for those areas, commercial and parking levels.

The next slide is also a slide of vesting tentative tract map for the modified alternative 2. In this slide, you can see the request of airspace lots. The draft EIR also -- the project also included a tentative tract map with a proposed haul route for the export of 41,944 cubic yards of dirt. The proposed modified alternative 2 alternative, the tract map you can see in the slide is also asking for a subdivision, but into one master ground lot and five airspace lots.

The proposed haul route for the modified alternative 2 would be for the export of less dirt, so approximately 23,833 cubic yards. Moving on to slide 21, slide 21 is just the comparison of the

entitlements. As you can see the entitlements for both the EIR project and modified alternative 2 are very similar except that modified alternative 2 includes the density bonus compliance review with the one on-menu incentive and does not include the conditional use permit for a hotel and also no longer includes the major development project.

2.0

Moving on to slide 22, that concludes the presentation from applicant. We've reviewed the staff report and we have no comments at this time. Also I wanted to mention we have a number of our various team members on the line, if there are any questions or additional information required. With that, thank you very much. I appreciate your time.

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. Do any members of the committee have any questions or comments at this time? All right. I will now hear public testimony regarding the project. As I stated previously, based on the number of participants, I'm going to limit the time to three minutes per speaker. I appreciate your patience as we adjust to the virtual hearing format. The hearing format will now present instructions and announce the number of speakers.

MR. COMO: Hi, this is Alan Como, the hearing officer for this case. As stated previously, we do

have a number of people who are in the room. To indicate that you would like to speak on this case, please press star nine. That will raise your hand, which we are able to see your hand raised, and we will have someone call on you when it is your turn to speak. They will call by announcing the last four digits of your phone number telling you to press star six to unmute yourself at that time.

2.0

Please be attentive to listening to the last four digits of your phone number to be called. We are not going in any particular order of how you joined the meeting or anything like that, so please just listen for the last four digits. Again, they will tell you to press star six at that time to unmute yourself. And when you do unmute yourself, please note that you'll have one opportunity to speak, so please make your comments comprehensive and concise.

If you have follow-up questions or comments following your turn to speak, you may submit those in writing to me as the hearing officer, but also the planner for the case. I will take those, so you can submit those to me. I am going to take a quick second to just count the number of people. Again, we had approximately 30 or so people with their phone numbers, so I'm going to count how many people have raised their

hand wishing to speak. If you can give me a moment to do that.

2.

2.0

It appears as though right now we have approximately 13 people who at this moment have pressed star nine indicating that they wish to speak.

Obviously until you hear the public testimony is closed, you can -- if you have not spoken yet or not raised your hand yet, you will be able to press star nine to do that.

I'm seeing a few more roll in. I would say at this time we have approximately 15 or so people who have currently raised their hand. Again, listen for the last four digits of your phone number to be called and the instructions to press star six to unmute yourself. When you are done speaking or when the time is up, you will hear a chime indicating that the three minutes has expired, and at that moment you will be placed on mute after that time.

You will hear now from my colleague Jason
McFaye who will announce the last four digits of your
phone number to begin your testimony.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 3098, you are now unmuted.

MS. UNGER: Hello. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the project. This is

Kathleen Unger. I'm an attorney with Advocates for the Environment of Public Interest Law Firm representing the Aids Healthcare Foundation. My address is 10211 Sunland Boulevard.

2.

2.0

As you know, the project requires demolition of 43 rent stabilized units. The Aids Healthcare Foundation objects to the demolition of rent-stabilized housing, which displaces existing tenants, disrupts their lives and risks them falling into homelessness and results in the loss of affordable homes. The RSO units that would be created from the project would be market rate and not affordable.

That would price them out of the reach of existing tenants of the Hollywood area and the larger shall Los Angeles region. The modified alternative 2 would provide for some affordable housing, but it would still represent a significant increase in the cost of housing for the majority of residents and still result in the displacement of current tenants. Although we believe the project should not go forward, if it does, there should be a condition of approval requiring the new units to be subject to eh subject to the rent stabilization ordinance because the ordinance itself has exceptions that make it potentially to fall out of the ordinance.

Additionally there should be conditions of approval that would guarantee current tenants relocation package and a right to return at the same rent that they're currently paying. In addition to these concerns about housing, Advocates for the Environment and the Aids Healthcare Foundation are concerned about the many deficiencies in the environmental review for the project, many of which were detailed in a letter that we submitted on the draft EIR.

2.0

Those include deficient analysis of greenhouse gas emissions, impacts the air quality, hazardous material impacts, transportation and traffic, noise and cultural resource impacts. I did want to note to the extent the original project is still being considered, the analysis concluding that both 1765 and 1771 Vista Del Mar are not contributors to the historic district -- was not correctly reached.

Although we're glad to see that the modified alternative to preserve the structures that are part of the historic residential district, many other environmental impacts remain and are inadequately analyzed. For these reasons, we believe the project should not be approved. This concludes my comments. Thank you very much.

MR. McFAYE: Caller 7727, you're now unmuted.

MS. ZEMAITAITIS: Good morning. My name is Vivian Zemaitaitis. I am a daughter of Romas and Marisse Zemaitaitis who are the property owners at the 1763 Vista Del Mar. This would be the property directly adjacent to the two structures that are being proposed for demolition in the current project. It is duplex.

2.0

The duplex has been in my family a long time, and it is the only rental income -- rental property that my parents have. Unfortunately they're not on the call. My dad has Parkinson's and dementia and my mother has other health issues, so I am speaking on their behalf. We really appreciate Alan Como's responsiveness and helpfulness during this process.

We submitted comments actually to you, both the advisory board and the hearing officer in which we identified our property and also commented on the environmental concerns comments we submitted on the draft EIR, which were actually responded to in the response to comments. Our concerns obviously being right next door to the large project, you know, air quality, noise, vibration and those comments were addressed obviously legally responsive -- responded to in the final EIR, but clearly we still have great

concerns with the project being constructed six feet away from us, and in this case demolishing the two structures and proposing a three-story project,

13 units with two levels of subterranean garage -- and

I'm not sure if the advisory board was able to actually do a Google street view of Vista Del Mar.

2.0

It's a very small narrow street. It has oneand two-story residences. These are all very low
scale, low density residences. The street is so narrow
that you literally cannot have two cars going in either
direction at one time. There's no on-street parking.

MR. McFAYE: You have one minute remaining.

MS. ZEMAITAITIS: Thank you. And so, you know, we object to the current proposal. We support the modified alternative 2 proposal simply because it maintains the two existing homes. It does not result in a project that's looming out of character in the historic district. It doesn't add for additional traffic or additional mapping on Vista Del Mar, which in this case would be removing two residences from clearly only ten project sites or ten lots on Vista Del Mar.

So please take a look at our comment letter, which goes into further detail regarding our concerns, but for the sake of the neighborhood, for the sake of

1 our residents, for the sake of the historic district, 2. we oppose the demolition of the two existing residences and support the modified alternative 2. With that 3 4 said, we'll also submit comments for the planning 5 commission, but thank you so much for your 6 consideration. 7 MR. McFAYE: Participant 8052, you're now 8 unmuted. MR. SALDIVAR: Hello. My name is Luis 9 Saldivar. I am a resident of the proposed project, 10 6220 Yucca Street. I first want to say that I find 11 12

Saldivar. I am a resident of the proposed project, 6220 Yucca Street. I first want to say that I find this whole process appalling and disrespectful, unprofessional and unacceptable on behalf of the planning department and the city. The fact that, you know, Alan Como and Ms. Casper are saying that we have been given enough time to review this and for the public to comment and review this is bogus. It's a lie.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We have not been -- the final EIR was supposedly put out August 7th, and I never got a final EIR. I live here. We never got the final EIR. The developer has never held his word on what he promised the tenants of these properties -- of those RSO properties. Let me see -- obviously the developer's speculation is wrong given all the extraordinary events

that have transpired this year of 2020.

2.0

I also want to quote and reference the Los

Angeles Times, "strong evidence quake faults run

through side of Hollywood skyscrapers." The state

says -- state geologist says from July 22nd, 2020, by

Ron Ganglin. I want to say first off the fact that you

guys are trying to build a 20- or 30-story tower

directly on an earthquake fault is just wrong as a huge

liability to the residents and the community to the

greater city of Los Angeles.

Second, I would like to address the loss of RSO housing in Los Angeles plus the displacement of longtime residents of the Hollywood community, some who were born in Hollywood and some who have lived on the proposed site for over 60 years. I honestly -- I just find it very, very wrong that you guys have said that you have given the public enough time to review this. That is just not right.

MR. McFAYE: You have one minute remaining.

MR. SALDIVAR: Thank you. Furthermore, I do not understand the fact that you guys gave a new proposed project number two -- the proposed project number two when that was out of the blue. We have never seen that, we have never heard about that. That was a brand-new thing, and there was not enough time to

review any of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The applicant has not shown good faith anything they have given cash for keys to several of our most vulnerable tenants, like minorities, families and seniors. There are deficiencies in the traffic report and the earthquake report, and I overwhelmingly think this project should not be approved. Thank you.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 0206, you're unmuted.

MS. HUNTER: Hi. Susan Hunter here. Housing human rights as well as Los Angeles tenant and Hollywood local. First off, it's very hard to hear the numbers being called out. I don't know if you're just away from the microphone, but it's difficult to hear our phone numbers. Some of the staff is hard to hear. If we can speak clearly, and I think that should attest to why we shouldn't be pushing forward on projects like this in the middle of a pandemic when we can't actually have real public participation -- not like the planning department really cares about real public participation, but, you know, we can't keep ramrodding through projects that are really destructive to our community and not have any community input because that's not the way our communities were set up to be.

Firstly, the ELDP status, that was assigned on a project a proposal with the hotel elements. If

they're going to be removing the hotel elements, we need to reassess the ELDP status under state, and that should be a requirement to make sure we're actually following the law.

2.

2.0

As far as the issue around housing, we're talking about the loss of 43 affordable RSO units who either replaced with zero affordable units under plan A or only 17 affordable units under plan B, which means at the very least this is a loss of 26 affordable units to change that with 252 RSO luxury units the developer having is somehow a fair return, and it's not.

The planning department must require a guaranteed plan for right of return as a condition of approval to comparable units in the new development as well as the same rent for 43 units, not to include the 17 for the density bonus because otherwise that's double dipping. What is the point of a right of turn if saying, well, you know, we didn't make them homeless, but we're not going to build any housing for anybody else who's currently homeless or a rent burden.

Actually, what we need is 60 affordable units in total. And realistically under the CRA plan for the entire Hollywood area we have not met our 15 percent area requirement for affordable housing, which means that realistically we should be demanding 100 percent

of the structure needs to be affordable, because we've already liquidated all the CRA money to develop affordable housing in this area, and for some reason we just kind of failed to do it. We were in court with Kyndra Casper and her firm on this last year over the Crosswords project --

2.

2.0

MR. McFAYE: You have one minute.

MS. HUNTER: This is a problem, and we are not on the trajectory we need to provide the affordable housing that we absolutely need, and I'm sure Kyndra is shaking her head. I don't care because if you're not going to build a housing that we need for our community, don't bother to build here and go somewhere else.

If the developer says they plan on doing a real right of return, they shouldn't have a problem putting that in writing. For some reason in the last three years that's never materialized. They shouldn't have a problem agreeing to this as a condition of approval. And state law requires safe and habitable units for tenancy. How can it be safe and habitable on top of multiple acts of fault line, not to mention LADOT issues for backing up traffic all the way onto the highway, which is going to cause more access, because lord knows what we need in L.A. is more

traffic. Thank you for your time. 1 2 MR. McFAYE: Participation 4975, please press star six; 3 4 PAUL: Hi. My name is Paul. I'm a resident 5 of Hollywood. I support modified 2 -- modified 6 alternative 2. I believe the developer has done the 7 right thing in preserving the two homes on Vista Del Mar, which will help preserve Vista Del Mar, the Carlos 8 district as for this type of integrity in development. 9 Thank you. 10 11 MR. McFAYE: 6792, please press star six. 12 6792, please press star six. Caller 6792, please press star six. I'm going to move on and we'll come back. 13 14 MR. McQUISTON: Hello? 15 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: We can hear you. MR. McQUISTON: All right. This is Jim 16 McQuiston. I'll speak quickly. This is the wrong 17 18 location for the intended uses. HUD limits this kind 19 of housing to six or less stories because of environmental problems they found. John Villalobos and 20 21 Super King Markets are too far away for the residents 22 that are supposed to live here to go shopping. You 23 need two jobs to afford this. That means the people are not going to be home. They're going to need two 24 25 vehicles for their jobs because they go in different

places, and if they want to buy frozen food or ice cream, they got to have a car. There's no park close by for kids.

2.

2.0

There's supposed to be 105 five-acre parks in the city 13 alone, and they're not here. There's no school available for the population expected. There's no street or sidewalk that's supposed to be expanded for the population. The 65 DB noise is 100 times louder than LAMC allows. The hotel across the street puts out 80 DBs of noise unmuffled, an engine that starts and stops at various times of night and day during the hours and we can't control that. That's still going to go on.

That's one of the problems, but the second problem is they have never put in their loading dock, which they were supposed to put in. I suppose that would happen here too, so the street will be impossible. Regional center commercial is not legally recognized by the government code, so this is completely haywire.

Please, please, please try to observe what the state wants. They don't want haphazard zoning. They don't want haphazard development. This is by definition haphazard development. We've taken 12 hours and 15 years to plan the Hollywood plans. I was on the

CRA board --

2.0

MR. McFAYE: One minute.

MR. McQUISTON: We just can't stand this kind of development. It's in the wrong place. Put it somewhere elsewhere it's appreciated. Thank you.

MR. McFAYE: Caller 2980, please press star six.

MR. SCOTT FINE: Good morning. This is Adrian Scott Fine with the Los Angeles Conservancy. We're at 523 6th Street in Downtown Los Angeles. The Conservancy provided comments on the draft environmental impact report for this project. Primarily raising concerns regarding impacts to the California register listed, Vista Del Mar San Carlos historic district as the current project proposed to demolish two residences within the district boundaries and to construct a proposed project.

This would represent significant loss to the district. The modified alternative 2 addresses our primary concerns in terms of the loss of these two properties. We appreciate staff and applicant for listening to our concerns and addressing that. We would encourage and certainly press the modified alternative to become the project as it moves forward certainly before the city planning commission. Thank

1 you. 2 MR. McFAYE: Participant 1244, please press 3 star six. 4 MR. CELLO: Hello. Can you guys hear me? 5 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes, we can hear you. 6 MR. CELLO: Perfect. My name is Mark Cello. 7 I live in Hollywood. I support the modified alternative 2. It's a great development, I think. 8 think it meets all the requirements needed. I think it 9 will be a great refreshment to the area of yuck Yucca 10 11 and Argyle as it is little dense -- you know, dark. 12 It's not really, you know, a seeked out area to go buy. I think something like this would actually improve the 13 14 area. Thank you. 15 MR. McFAYE: Participant 5087, please press star six. 16 MR. CURRAN: This is Brian Curran representing 17 Hollywood Heritage. My address is 501 South Plymouth 18 19 Boulevard. Hollywood Heritage is opposed to the project currently proposed due to the significant 2.0 21 adverse impact it would have with regard to the Vista Del Mar district, including the demolition of two 22 altered contributors within the district boundaries 23 resulting in a 20 percent loss of the district and the 24 25 loss of a complete street scape.

We also regard the size and the scale of the building and the character with the surrounding district, which will also have a cumulative impact of further altering the setting of several historic resources in the surrounding area. Hollywood Heritage, however, commends the applicant for proposing modified alternative 2, which would retain the two altered contributors returning them to single-family usage and thus preserve the district.

2.

2.0

We would like the applicant to go further and see a qualified preservation architect involved in the planning and execution of the secretary of the interior standards compliant rehabilitation for both 1765 and 1771 North Vista Del Mar as well as the commission of the preservation easement to protect the homes in perpetuity.

We'd request the commission of a preservation plan for the entire Vista Del Mar district for the continued protection and maintenance of the entire district. While we tentatively support modified alternative 2, we still remain opposed to the size and scale of the project, which alters the setting of the district as well as many historic resources in the surrounding area and in contradiction to the current plans, the Hollywood community redevelopment plan, the

Hollywood core transition district and Hollywood Boulevard urban design district.

2.0

I would encourage the applicant to go back to revise her design be a little more in compliance with those plans. With that, I conclude my comments.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 5733, please press star six.

MS. JOHNSON: Hello. Thank you, everyone. My name is Shawna Johnson. I am with LATU and also with the Yucca Argyle tenant association. I object to this development and to the destruction of any of our city's RSO housing stock as well as bringing the additional traffic, noise pollution and seismic concerns to Hollywood.

I want to emphasize that if this project is considered for approval, there must be a plan for guaranteed full right of return at current RSO rent and a relocation package for all the current tenants made as a condition for approval of this development. This will prevent our displacement and our potential homelessness. We don't need more luxury housing in Hollywood and we don't need more hotels in Hollywood. Thank you very much.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 9318, please press star six. Participant 9318, please press star six.

MS. AMERINACIO: Hi, there. Good morning. 1 2 Are you able to hear me? 3 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes, we can hear you. 4 Go ahead. 5 MS. AMERINACIO: Hi. My name is Tony 6 Amerinacio, and I've been a Hollywood resident for 7 about 25 years now. I am definitely supporting the modified alternative 2. I think that it definitely 8 meets all the requirements preserving the neighborhood, 9 maintaining the two homes, and I feel like especially 10 11 with, you know, staying within the RSO units that the 12 developer is staying within all of the requirements. Ι 13 am supporting. Thank you so much. MR. McFAYE: Participant 8479, please press 14 15 star six. MR. EATON: Good morning, everyone. My name 16 is Travis Eaton. I'm a local Hollywood resident for 17 18 the last six years working in the hospitality industry. 19 I'd just like to say I support modified alternative 2 because any project could help address the current 2.0 21 housing crisis, and this is adding 17 low income units 22 that will be brand-new that helps keep people's morale 23 up living in a newer unit, less stress on both parties. I do like that this also keeps rent control where it 24 25 offers the current resident options to maintain their

cost of living, you know, even throughout the development process.

2.0

I also appreciate that this plan works to preserve the historic residences. You know, cities are all too quick to let their history go by the wayside. Yeah. We need updated housing and we need job creation. This proposal creates both. I think we need more of these projects within the city. Thank you very much. Have a great day.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 9187, please press star six.

MR. ARRENDONDO: My name is Ishmael
Arrendondo. I'm a member of Argyle Civic Association.
I live here for 24 years. These there are many holes
in this project. Number one, the traffic. You don't
expect -- you haven't resolved the problem we have on
the freeway 101 entrance and exit from this. Another
one, there's not enough time for us to respond to you,
all of us.

We are thousands of people who live in this area for this project. Another one, you're making too many assumptions about parking. You have to guarantee the parking for these people and make them support the building and not on the parking that we have on the street that we have for free because the building that

we have, the hotels and Argyle -- the building across the street, they come to park over in our area, so we have no parking here. We have a problem with that.

Another one, you are not including the last report that comes from the USGS that was issued on

2.0

report that comes from the USGS that was issued on May 2020. It says if an active of -- house project. It's an active part of the project here. There is nothing about the Argyle situation here. The traffic report that you have, you don't include the intersection of Argyle and Yucca. You have to have that there.

As it is, even though you can't consider it now because so many people are not driving right now, it's awful to travel right now as it is, especially during the rush hours. The renters, they have to have in writing the right to return. Don't go to funny business on this. This is not a good project, sir.

MR. McFAYE: One minute left.

MR. ARRENDONDO: Thank you.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 1317, please press star six.

MR. BOSS: Hey, how are you doing? Stass
Boss. I think this project is a great thing. You
know, as long as you guys preserve what's around there,
it should be preserved. It should remain cool. Clean

up that corner. Some of it is scumbag shit over there.

I support this project. Thank you very much. You have
a good day. Stass Boss, out. Peace out.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 8077, please press
star six.

2.0

MARIANA: Hello. Hi. My name is Mariana. I live in the current project that's going to be developed on Yucca and Argyle. I would like to say first most that please do -- I strongly, strongly suggest not to move forward with the project during a worldwide pandemic. It is uncertain and we don't know when it will be resolved.

I would like to say that most of the tenants that reside in this apartment building complex are mostly seniors, disabled, families and minorities. We have not received anything in writing from the developer, such as the RSO and the EIR. We don't have anything in writing. The proposed project number two that came out so out of the blue, we're not even aware of that.

Honestly, during the pandemic, I'm pretty sure that most of the residents here are Spanish-speaking. They don't have a translator. They don't have an idea of what's going on, so it's really unethical to move forward with a hearing like this, especially during a

pandemic with everything being uncertain. Thank you for your time.

2.

2.0

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Do we have any other speakers?

MR. McFAYE: No, there doesn't appear to be any other speaker. Participant 8864, please press star six.

MR. CHAPMAN: Yes, hi. My name is Stanley Chapman. I'm a longtime citizen. I've been living in Los Angeles for 53 years, and I've been listening to the comments by the developers and by speakers forward and again. I'm here to ask why does the City of Los Angeles continue to build housing and go under the process of affordable housing when it's clearly not affordable housing? There's housing for well-incomed people as the developer spokesperson said.

I've been out every Sunday since the pandemic and pass out food because food shelters that used to provide food in are closed because of the pandemic. The City of Los Angeles and the city of Hollywood does not have a shortage of housing. It has a shortage of affordable housing.

When will the city demand that developers provide housing that people can afford? They keep giving these buzz words affordable housing and they're

going to have so many units designated for people they consider low income. It's all a myth. We have enough housing. We just don't have enough housing that people can afford.

2.

2.0

When is the city going to demand that developers put it in writing, give the tenants they're trying to displace something in writing guaranteeing that they can come back and get the housing at the same rate that they were paying before? New housing is great, but housing can be old. Calling something affordable that isn't affordable and using buzz words and telling the commission we're going to have affordable housing, going to have low income housing, that sounds nice, but when it comes to actuality, it's not.

We have units all over the City of Los Angeles in Hollywood that are sitting empty. Please. When you're looking at deciding what to do, get it in writing. Get something that will give people security. This is not about a project. This is about people's lives. Please. Thank you very much.

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. Any other callers?

MR. McFAYE: I don't see any. If anyone else would like to speak, please press star nine. I don't

1 see any additional hands raised. 2 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: I just saw a hand. 3 MR. McFAYE: Participant 8511, please press 4 star six. 5 Hello. Can you hear me? MR. MARTINEZ: 6 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes, we can hear you. 7 MR. MARTINEZ: My name is Soloro Martinez. Ι already opposed this project. It's been too long. 8 9 Every time we have a meeting, every time we have a hearing, they're all words and no action. I don't 10 11 know. I've been living here for 50 years in front of 12 L.A. I don't know if any of you guys remember what 13 happened way back, like, 40 years ago with the City of 14 L.A. giving the right to a gentleman from New York to 15 come and displace a total -- a neighborhood in order to be a Dodger Stadium. 16 17 That's what you guys are doing here. You're giving the right of the developers to throw residents 18 19 away. Everybody's mentioned it. This is not a good project if you listen to the people that live there, 2.0 21 that work nearby, or that enjoy going to Hollywood. Building 17 affordable units? Affordable for who? 22 They're not affordable for fixed income residents. 23 Most of the residents around there are older residents 24 25 and fixed income. We can not let them build this in

Hollywood. Now, you are not giving anything in
writing.
I heard some of the comments before me.

2.0

You've not given anything in writing to reassure those residents are coming back and able to live in those affordable units. Affordable to you are affordable to people that work three jobs during the work in order to support some rent. You cannot do that. We are not allowing that.

We cannot allow that to happen anywhere in the County of L.A. So please. I ask you not to go ahead with the project --

MR. McFAYE: One minute left.

MARIANA: Stop the project and do not become part of the homeless population in Hollywood or in the county of L.A.

MR. McFAYE: Participant 4719, please press star six.

JOSH: Hello, commissioners. My name is Josh. I'm a 42-year resident of Los Angeles. I encourage you to build this project. I mean, when was the last time you got hundreds of voluntarily rent stabilized units in Hollywood -- not the mention affordable housing units as well, not to mention the preservation aspect.

I mean, the Conservancy is on board for this?

1 You guys better build this right now before it goes 2 away. We have a massive housing crisis in Los Angeles. Build more housing now, period. That's it. Thanks. 3 4 MR. McFAYE: Again, please, if you'd like to 5 speak, press star nine at this time. I'm not seeing 6 any. I'll wait a few more seconds, and then we'll 7 proceed. MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: All right. Thank you, 8 I don't see my other raised hands. I'm going 9 to move forward. The public comment period is now 10 11 closed for this project. 12 We would now allow the applicant's representative to respond to the concerns raised. 13 14 you also address the issue that was brought up on ELDP 15 status with the change of the modified alternative 2 project, and also in addition to other comments raised 16 17 also your outreach to Spanish-speaking bilingual 18 speaking people who are currently living on the site. 19 Applicant? MS. CASPER: Hello. This is Kyndra Casper. 2.0 21 Can you hear me? 22 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes. Go ahead. 23 MS. CASPER: Okay. Excellent. So I'll start off with your first question regarding the ELDP status. 24 25 So the project was certified by the governor of

California as an ELDP project in 2017. That was under the law that was in effect at that point when the project was certified -- was SB734.

2.

2.0

There is no requirement in SB734 that a project alternative gets reassessed or recertified under the -- as an ELDP project. The way the ELDP statute was written at that time and actually is still currently written requires the governor to certify a project prior to the draft EIR being circulated for public review, and the way that it works is not to come back -- it's clear under the statute that if environmentally superior alternative is selected, which is what would be required under CEQA -- that there's no reason it needs to go back and be reassessed to be recertified as an ELDP project.

I think your second question was related to what have we done in relationship to Spanish outreach or outreach to the tenants of the project site. There are a number of things related to the tenants at the project site. We have a relocation specialist that's actually on site at the project that is open to speak to the tenants at any time related to any questions they have about their tenancies, about relocation rights, about the Ellis Act, about the right to return any of those things. There's actually somebody

currently on site every single day that the tenants can speak to.

2.

2.0

They are also -- the gentleman who lives on the site that the tenants can speak to does not speak Spanish; however, he has Spanish translators available, so anyone who needs translation into Spanish can receive that. Any and all required or non-required notices that the owner has sent to the tenants has sent them in Spanish and English to accommodate the Spanish-speaking tenants.

Also, there have been a few -- prior to the pandemic when we could actually meet in person, there were a few meetings with the tenants. Spanish translation was available at those meetings, and the actual owner of the property -- his name is Robert Champion -- was actually there and spoke personally to the tenants about the project and what was going to happen moving forward.

So those are a variety of outreach that has been done with the tenants, and everything like I said we have given the tenants is also translated into Spanish. There are Spanish translators available. The consultant who's on site also has access to a Spanish translator, so any time someone requests that, we can fulfill that request.

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Did you want to address any other questions or address my other issues brought up by the public?

2.0

MS. CASPER: Sure. Let me go through my notes. There were comments about affordable housing.

So as I mentioned in the presentation, the applicant -- although we discussed both projects today, the draft EIR project and modified alternative 2 project would like to move forward is requesting that the city look at moving forward the modified alternative 2, which includes 17 very low affordable income units.

Those units will be covenanted per the state density bonus laws, so there will be a requirement on the site. So they will be available, as I said, 17 very low income units covenanted per state law. There were a number of comments about the RSO. Currently there are 43 RSO units on the site. Those units will be demolished to make way for the new project again, which we would propose to be modified alternative 2.

There are several laws, state laws, city laws that govern how you deal with removing RSO units from the market and when you are replacing them. We are currently following all of those laws. One of those laws is called the Ellis Act. If the project would be approved and the Ellis Act process starts, we will be

following the Ellis Act process every step of the way on the Ellis Act allows for relocation payment for current tenants on the project site, and we will be fully complying with the Ellis Act.

2.

2.0

There are also requirements for replacing units when you remove them from the market. The law that is applicable to the project and to modified alternative 2 require that you have to either replace with affordable or you need to have the entirety of your project that is not affordable be subject to the rent control ordinance, and that is what we are doing.

There will be 252 rent-stabilized units in modified alternative 2 as well as the 17 very low income units. There were noted deficiencies in the environmental impact report. There was no substantial evidence given today about what any of those deficiencies were, just general deficiencies related to air quality, traffic and noise.

We believe that the city's draft EIR and final EIR in response to comments deals with all of those issues and the purported deficiencies some of the speakers were discussing today, and we agree with the city that their environmental document is supported by substantial evidence, and that there are, in fact, no deficiencies related to any of those environmental

issues.

2.0

There were a number of comments related to frustration regarding people feeling like they did not have enough time to get ready for the hearing or to review some of the documentation and this project is being ramrodded through the process. I'd like to note this process was applied for in December of 2014, so we've been in the city planning process for almost four years now.

There's been no ramrodding here. All of the environmental documents -- the draft EIR, final EIR were posted and adequate time was given per state law and per CEQA, and so the comments related to -- I understand if people feel like they didn't have enough time, but the timing is compliant with the CEQA law and all the posting and noticing was done in compliance with the law as well.

There were other comments regarding affordable housing in the area. There was a comment related to -- that Hollywood has not met the 15 percent area wide affordable housing requirement as required under the redevelopment plan, and that speaker also noted that there was a litigation previously related to this and in that litigation the judge ruled that the area wide 15 percent requirement under the redevelopment

plan was not a project per project requirement.

2.0

It is exactly what it says it is in the redevelopment plan, an area wide affordable housing requirement. This project by including the 17 very low income units in modified alternative 2 would actually help increase the affordable housing in the community plan area and help the city meet that area wide 15 percent requirement.

There were a number of tenants from the project site that discussed their probable displacement from the project. As a private matter, I had mentioned the developer spoke to the tenants on the site at the project, and he has been in discussion with the tenants to offer the current tenants at the project site the right to return to a comparable unit at their current rent.

It would be something similar to a private rent control where their rent can only be increased pursuant to whatever the rent control ordinance at the city says. That is a private -- that would be a private agreement and a private right between the developer and the tenants at the site. The tenants do have a letter from Mr. Champion that lays all of those issues out.

They do have a writing for him, and there will

1 be the right to return offer, but again that's a 2. private agreement between the developer and the tenant at the project site. I'm just looking through my notes 3 4 to see what else. 5 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: I think you covered it. 6 All right. I just want to make a note that -- go 7 ahead. 8 MS. CASPER: I was just going to say we actually -- there were some comments about the 9 earthquake faults and we have Mike Reader who is our 10 11 geotech -- who is the geotech expert on the project. 12 Perhaps he could speak for a moment about the earthquake faults. 13 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Go ahead. 14 15 MR. McFAYE: Mike, could you please raise your I don't have access to your phone number right 16 hand? 17 I believe I see who you are. Could you please press star nine? Go ahead. 18 19 MR. READER: Okay. Gotcha. Sorry about that. I'm Mike Reader. I'm the principal geotechnical 2.0 21 engineer with Group Delta. We've done numerous studies 22 at the site. I know several of the commenters raised 23 comments about earthquakes and earthquake faults. 24 want to address that. 25 Besides the safety in what we do, it's

important -- it's obviously important to everybody in California and to us as people who study earthquakes and earthquake hazards. One of the hazards is called a ground fault rupture, which is potentially dangerous if there's a building built on an active fault. 1971 in San Fernando there were several fault ruptures and state recognized the hazard, and so in 1972 passed the Priolo -- what's called the Special Study Zones Act.

2.0

What the act does is, is the state of California, California geologic service will draw maps, and the maps shall be 500 feet either side of faults of probable earthquake faults they think might be active. So the zones are about 1,000 feet wide. In the zones, which are called special study zones, anyone wanting to obtain a building permit has to do a special study by experienced geologists looking for earthquake faults.

We've done several of those studies, which have included numerous lines of -- and trenches. Those reports -- well, the trenches have been observed by city geologists, state geologists and recognized earthquake fault experts who are part of our studies. The results of all of those studies have been submitted to the city. The city reviewed and approved those for purposes of this project.

1 We've looked in detail at the recent 2. information from USGS. The bottom line is the new 3 information does not change the map, and therefore it 4 does not change the requirement for the results of our 5 study and does not change the approval given by the 6 city, so everyone here -- ourselves, the developer and 7 city -- have done what it's legally required to ensure that the project is not built on an active fault. 8 9 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Does that conclude your comment, Mike? 10 11 MR. READER: Yes, sorry. 12 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: All right. Thank you. Thank you. I want to clarify something that was said. 13 14 In terms of a private agreement, the city does 15 not have the authority to enforce private agreements and cannot be part of any city action. I just wanted 16 17 to clarify that. We're going to go to subdivision committee and give them an opportunity to speak or 18 19 provide comments. 2.0 MR. AVENNASSIAN: George Avennassian, Bureau 21

MR. AVENNASSIAN: George Avennassian, Bureau of Engineering this year. I'd like to make a few comments here. I think the staff report in the beginning under engineering conditions, the improvements conditions are repeated correctly under S3, so it may not be necessary to appear there. It can

22

23

24

25

be eliminated. That's one thing I've seen there, I think.

2.

2.0

If you look at the -- just to make sure, improvement companies that appear up front under the specific conditions also are under strict conditions, which they do -- make sure it is not duplicate before you issue the decision letter. That's one comment.

Other conditions could be under engineering conditions, but improvement should go under --

MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes.

MR. AVENNASSIAN: And then like Alan Como said, whichever project you approve, alternative 1 or 2, you can eliminate the references to the first one and only leave the ones that apply to alternate 2. In the end, I'd like to say that after hearing the closing comments by applicant's representative Kyndra Casper, that she said she has read the staff report and she has no comments, I'd like to state again for the record that representative from the applicant, Kyndra Casper, let the record show applicant representative has no comment and/or objections to city engineer's recommendations, so therefore I will send a memo for this, make sure it stays in the record to all the planning staff, and a copy will be put in the project file for any future reference that informing all party

1 that no issues were raised as far as the Bureau of 2 Engineering recommendations to the agency. 3 concludes my comments. Thank you. 4 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. 5 MR. DELACRUZ: This is Gil Delacruz from 6 Bureau of Street Lighting. For the record, this is for 7 the modified map. The streetlighting condition is one new streetlight on Argyle Avenue, street widening for 8 BOE relocating streetlight on -- one on Yucca Street. 9 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. Any other 10 comments? Go ahead. 11 12 MR. COMO: Sorry, this is Alan. Rec and Parks did submit a revision to their condition that was 13 submitted. I don't know if our Rec and Parks member is 14 15 still with us right now in the hearing, if they would like to speak. If not, I can. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is -- from Rec and 17 Can you hear me? 18 Parks. 19 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Yes, we can. 2.0 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Perfect. Sorry, 21 my Zoom got unconnected. There was an issue. I will read this into the record that we have a correction on 22 23 the Recreation and Parks condition. Previously it had read that the Quimby Fee based on the R3 zone, and we 24 25 would like to correct it to read that the Quimby Fee

1 based on the R3 and C2 zones. 2 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. 3 MS. COMO: Sorry, Elva, to jump back in. 4 is Alan Como, planning again. I just want to make sure 5 the correction correct here with the condition, and 6 that is to assess the Quimby Fee fee, C2 fees for the 7 C2 zone properties and the R3 fees for the R3 zone properties; is that correct? 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, and generally down the line they would need to supply the unit amounts for 10 11 each of those zones so that we can charge them 12 accordingly. 13 MR. COMO: Thank you. 14 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Thank you. 15 MR. COMO: Elva, one more. MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Go ahead. 16 17 MR. COMO: One more point of order. Because we received the updated conditions from Rec and Park, 18 19 an updated condition form Bureau of Street Lighting and new conditions from LADOT, their project specific 2.0 21 conditions as well as haul route conditions, that any 22 action that you take would just reflect the addition of -- and correction for all conditions. I'm done now. 23 24 MS. NUFIO-O'DONNELL: Okay. Very good. 25 well. So based on the findings and facts presented in

the staff recommendation report, from the testimony, and based on the whole of the administrative record, I approve and certify the environmental impact report ENV-2014-4706-EIR for the 6220 West Yucca project -- the mitigation and monitoring program and statement of overriding considerations and approve with conditions in the staff report and the additional and corrected conditions and modified conditions presented at this hearing, vesting tentative tract map 73718 stamp dated July 27th, 2020, pursuant to the modified alternative 2 project as identified in the final EIR.

2.

2.0

I also approve the associated haul route subject to the conditions in the staff report. This concludes the public hearing for item number one on the agenda. To reiterate, the case is scheduled to be heard on September 24th, 2020. Copies of the agenda for that meeting may be obtained approximately one week before the meeting date either by calling the commission office at 213-978-1300 or visiting the planning department's website at planning.lacity.org.

If you would like to be added to the interested parties' list to receive further notices, reports and decision letters for the agenda items from today's meeting, please fill out the interested parties' form located on the meeting agenda. The

agenda is available on the department website or by contacting the staff planner.

You can also access the list directly by visiting tinyurl.com/interested-party. It is now 11:43. This concludes the public hearing for 6220 West Yucca Street. Thank you for your participation.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Jaclyn Kinsbursky, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, holding a valid and current license issued by the State of California, CSR No. 13858, do hereby certify:

That said proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand through audio transcription, transcribed into typewriting under my direction and supervision.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action nor in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the original transcript will render the Reporter's certificate null and void.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name on this 2nd day of September, 2020.

JACLYN KINSBURSKY CSR NO. 13858

	20.10 41.10		60.14	21.10
A	30:19 41:12	agency 2:3,22	60:14	31:12
AB900 7:1	48:1 63:7	4:2,18 5:4	alternative	appear 46:5
AB939 6:7	Additionally	7:13 15:3 61:2	3:6 4:21,25 5:2 9:18	59:25 60:4
able 14:21	2:21 4:4,12			appears 26:3
25:4 26:8	28:1	agenda 14:3	10:16,17	applicable
30:5 42:2	address 4:9,17	63:15,16,23	15:7 18:1,8	54:7
49:5	12:13 27:3	63:25 64:1	18:9,10,12	applicant 1:13
above-refe	32:11 39:18	ago 48:13	18:13,19,21	6:22 14:11
5:6 6:9	42:20 50:14	agree 54:22	19:19 20:6,9	14:13,14
aboveground	53:1,2 57:24	agreeing 35:19	20:16,23	15:5 18:7
8:9 10:10	addressed 4:10	agreement	22:5,19 23:7	23:8 24:9
17:7	29:24	21:23,24	23:14,19,19	33:2 38:21
absolutely	addresses 3:10	22:2 56:21	23:23 24:2,3	40:6,10 41:3
35:10	38:19	57:2 59:14	27:15 28:20	50:19 53:6
access 35:24	addressing	agreements	30:15 31:3	60:19,20
52:23 57:16	3:24 38:22	59:15	36:6 38:19	applicant's
64:3	adequate 55:12	ahead 15:1	38:24 39:8	13:22 14:1
accessed 12:14	adjacent 29:6	42:4 49:11	40:7,21 42:8	50:12 60:16
accessible	adjust 14:7	50:22 57:7	42:19 50:15	application
19:23 20:19	24:21	57:14,18	51:5,12 53:8	6:23
accommodate	administra	61:11 62:16	53:10,19	applied 55:7
52:9	7:10 8:21	Aids 27:3,6	54:8,13 56:5	apply 60:14
account 18:13	10:21 63:2	28:6	60:12 63:10	appreciate
acre 2:9	Adrian 38:8	air 28:12	alters 40:22	14:7 15:9
act 51:24	adverse 5:14	29:22 54:18	Amerinacio	24:14,21
53:24,25	39:21	airspace 2:8	42:1,5,6	29:14 38:21
54:1,2,4	advisory 2:2	3:1 23:11,15 23:21	amounts 62:10	43:3
58:9,10	2:22 4:2,16 4:18 5:4		analysis 28:11 28:16	appreciated 38:5
action 12:23	7:12 15:3	Alan 1:11 2:1 11:17 13:18		approval 3:22
48:10 59:16	29:17 30:5	15:8 19:4	analyzed 28:23 and/or 60:21	3:24 6:18,19
62:22 65:12	Advocates 27:1		Andrew 14:18	8:23 27:21
active 44:6,7	28:5	24:24 29:14	Angeles 1:4,5	28:2 34:14
58:5,13 59:8	afford 36:23	31:15 60:11	27:15 32:3	35:20 41:16
acts 35:22	46:24 47:4	61:12 62:4	32:10,12	41:19 59:5
actual 52:15	affordable	alcohol 9:2	33:10 38:9	approve 7:14
actuality	11:4 17:13	11:10 22:13	38:10 46:10	60:12 63:3,6
47:14	18:25 19:8	23:1	46:13,20	63:12
add 30:18	27:10,12,16	allow 9:1,5,7	47:16 49:20	approved 28:24
added 4:2	34:6,7,8,9	9:11 11:9,13	50:2	33:7 53:25
17:14 63:21	34:21,24	22:14,15	announce 14:9	58:24
adding 42:21	35:1,3,9	49:10 50:12	24:23 26:20	approximately
addition 5:11	46:14,15,22	allowable 9:11	announcing	2:9,11,16,19
7:10,19 9:16	46:25 47:11	11:6 22:25	25:6	3:2,15 5:1
28:4 50:16	47:11,13	allowing 49:9	anybody 34:20	8:3,6 9:24
62:22	48:22,22,23	allows 37:9	anywise 65:13	10:4 16:21
additional	49:6,6,6,23	54:2	apartment	16:24 17:3
3:23 4:19	53:5,11 54:9	altered 39:23	45:14	18:23 19:9
6:21 7:15	54:10 55:18	40:7	apologize	21:13 23:24
12:19,25	55:21 56:3,6	altering 40:4	14:12	25:24 26:4
24:13 30:18	agencies 5:22	alternate	appalling	26:11 63:17
				20 11 03 17
<u> </u>				

		 -	1	
April 8:19	8:20 10:20	board 5:19	8:13 9:23	25:2,21 30:2
10:19,20	31:20	18:6 29:17	10:14 16:12	30:20 63:15
architect	authority	30:5 38:1	16:17 17:16	Casey 5:6
40:11	59:15	49:25	17:20 18:3	cash 33:3
area 2:14 3:8	automobile	BOE 61:9	20:12	Casper 1:14
5:16 8:2	8:10 10:10	bogus 31:17	built 58:5	14:19,23,23
9:10,11,14	available 5:3	bonus 10:25	59:8	15:2,4 31:15
9:21 11:7,7	6:21 7:11	13:12,17	burden 34:20	35:5 50:20
15:22,22	12:16 14:15	22:22 24:4	Bureau 3:17,19	
19:11 22:25	37:6 52:5,14	34:16 53:13	4:4,8 7:16	53:4 57:8
27:14 34:23	52:22 53:14	born 32:14	59:20 61:1,6	-
34:24 35:3	64:1	Boss 44:22,23	62:19	cause 35:24
39:10,12,14	Avennassian	45:3	business 44:17	Cello 39:4,6,6
40:5,24	59:20,20	bother 35:13	buy 37:1 39:12	center 37:18
43:21 44:2	60:11	bottom 59:2	buzz 46:25	CEQA 1:9 5:14
55:19,20,24	Avenue 3:11	Boulevard 27:4	47:11	5:17 51:13
56:3,7,7	7:22,24 9:24	39:19 41:2	C	55:13,15
areas 23:11	10:6,8 15:16	boundaries 38:16 39:23	C9:10	certainly 38:23,25
Argyle 7:22	16:3,14	Brady 14:16,18	C2 62:1,6,7	1
15:16 16:3 39:11 41:10	18:17 61:8 aware 45:19	14:18	C4-2D-SN 8:24	certificate 65:1,17
43:13 44:1,8	aware 45:19	brand-new	10:23 16:6	certification
44:10 45:8	awrur 44·14	32:25 42:22	CA1:5	6:24 7:3,7
61:8	В	Brian 39:17	California	21:5
Arrendondo	B 34:8	brief 15:6	5:20,21 21:2	certified 7:2
43:12,13	back 10:9	briefly 22:9	38:14 51:1	21:7 50:25
44:19	14:13 18:6	bringing 41:12	58:2,11,11	51:3 65:2
Article 5:21	36:13 41:3	brought 50:14	65:4	certify 7:13
aside 3:14	47:8 48:13	53:2	call 16:5 25:5	51:8 63:3
10:3	49:5 51:11	build 21:5	25:6 29:12	65:5,11
asking 23:9,20	51:14 62:3	32:7 34:19	called 25:10	cetera 22:6
aspect 49:24	backing 35:23	35:12,13	26:13 33:12	CHAMBER 1:4
assess 62:6	based 14:4	46:13 48:25	53:24 58:3,8	Champion 52:16
assigned 11:18	19:1 24:19	49:21 50:1,3	58:15	56:23
33:24	61:24 62:1	building 2:14	Caller 29:1	change 8:23,24
associated	62:25 63:2	2:15,15,17	36:12 38:6	10:22,23
7:20 63:12	beginning	2:20 3:9,12	callers 47:23	13:10,10
association	59:23	3:18 6:6 8:2	calling 47:10	22:12,12,21
41:10 43:13	behalf 9:17	8:2,3,4,8,11	63:18	22:21 34:10
assume 5:23	11:19 29:14	8:12 9:9,22	car 37:2	50:15 59:3,4
assumptions	31:13	10:1,12	care 35:11	59:5
43:22	believe 27:20	16:12,13,22	cares 33:19	changes 5:15
attachment 5:5	28:23 36:6	16:22,24	Carlos 17:17	Chapman 46:8,9
6:9	54:19 57:17	17:21 18:16	17:23 19:15	character
attentive 25:9	better 21:6	18:23 20:14	36:8 38:14	30:17 40:2
attest 33:15	50:1	20:17 23:10	cars 30:10	charge 62:11
attorney 27:1	bilingual	40:2 43:24	case 3:16 4:3	chime 26:16
audio 1:16	50:17	43:25 44:1	7:20 8:16,22	circulate
65:8	blocks 15:19	45:14 48:22	11:18,19,21	17:10
August 1:3 4:1	blue 16:8	58:5,16	12:2,8,20	circulated
4:5 5:7 6:12	32:23 45:19	buildings 3:10	21:7 24:25	51:9
	I	I	I	1

1.1.42.4	06.05.00.00	10.10.15.0		1
cities 43:4	26:25 30:23	13:19 15:3	conclude 41:5	consisting
citizen 46:9	31:17 50:10	24:16 59:18	59:9	16:16
city1:1,4,10	55:19 59:10	communicat	concludes	consists 7:25
5:19,24 6:5	60:7,21	13:6	13:17 24:8	9:19 16:11
9:17 11:19	commented	communities	28:24 61:3	constitution
11:24 12:7,9	29:18	33:23	63:14 64:5	5:22
13:8,9,10,16	commenter 5:11	community	concluding	construct
17:25 18:14	6:1	18:14 19:1	28:16	38:17
19:2,25	commenters	19:24 32:9	condition 4:6	constructed
31:14 32:10	57:22	32:13 33:22	4:10,13 7:16	20:3 30:1
37:5 38:25	comments 3:21	33:22 35:13	13:14 22:15	construction
43:8 46:12	3:23 4:23	40:25 56:6	27:21 34:13	7:25 9:20
46:20,20,23	12:4,5 17:11	Como 1:11 2:1	35:19 41:19	17:23 20:3,4
47:5,16	17:13,25	2:1 11:17	61:7,13,23	consultant
48:13 53:9	24:10,16	15:8 19:4	62:5,19	52:23
53:20 54:23	25:17,18	20:21 22:9	conditional	consumption
55:8 56:7,20	28:24 29:16	24:24,24	9:1,4,6,8	9:2 11:11
58:21,24,24 59:6,7,14,16	29:19,21,23 31:4 38:11	31:15 60:11 61:12 62:3,4	11:9,12	contacting 64:2
60:21	41:5 46:11		13:12,13,15	
city's 7:11	49:3 50:16	62:13,15,17 Como's 29:14	22:13,13,16 23:1,2 24:5	contain 12:6
41:11 54:19	53:5,16		conditions	containing 8:13 10:14
Civic 43:13	54:20 55:2	companies 60:4 comparable	3:22,24,25	11:23
clarify 59:13	55:13,18	34:14 56:15	4:1 5:15	contains 9:9
59:17	57:9,23	comparison	6:17 7:15	10:8 22:8
Clean 44:25	59:19,22	23:25	28:1 59:23	continue 46:13
clear 51:11	60:16,18	compatible	59:24 60:5,5	continued
clearing 8:18	61:3,11	15:25	60:8,8 62:18	40:19
clearinghouse	commercial	complete 39:25	62:20,21,21	contradiction
10:18	2:19 3:15	completely	62:23 63:6,8	40:24
clearly 13:23	8:6 9:3,6	37:20	63:8,13	contributors
29:25 30:21	10:4 11:12	complex 45:14	condominium	28:17 39:23
33:15 46:14	11:14 15:23	compliance 6:7	2:25	40:8
close 15:17	16:19,21	10:25 22:22	conducting	control 37:12
37:2	17:3 18:22	24:4 41:4	11:18	42:24 54:11
close-up 16:2	19:10 23:11	55:16	conjunction	56:18,19
closed 26:7	37:18	compliant	2:12 3:5	converted 10:9
46:19 50:11	commission 1:1	40:13 55:15	Conservancy	cool 44:25
closing 60:15	9:18 11:20	comply 5:24	38:9,11	Copies 12:16
code 37:19	11:25 12:7,9	6:5	49:25	63:16
colleague	12:12,17,18	complying 54:4	consider 18:1	copy 4:18
26:19	12:19,22	comprehensive	44:12 47:2	60:24
come 36:13	13:1,3,4,8	25:17	consideration	core 41:1
44:2 47:8	13:11 31:5	concerned 28:7	2:22 31:6	corner 16:13
48:15 51:10	38:25 40:14	concerns 4:20	considerat	19:16,20
comes 44:5	40:17 47:12	28:5 29:19	63:6	20:19 45:1
47:14	63:19	29:21 30:1	considered	correct 61:25
coming 49:5	commissioners	30:24 38:13	20:23 28:15	62:5,8
commends 40:6	49:19	38:20,22	41:16	corrected 4:13
comment 4:5	committed 22:5	41:13 50:13	consist 5:14	63:7
13:19 17:10	committee	concise 25:17	10:1	correction
				l

	I	I	I	I
61:22 62:5	41:17,18	42:7,8	Deputy 2:2,21	30:11 65:9
62:23	42:20,25	definition	4:2,15,18	directly 29:6
correctly	45:7 54:3	37:24	5:3	32:8 64:3
28:18 59:24	56:14,15	Del 3:11 7:24	design 41:2,4	dirt 23:18,23
cost 27:17	65:3	9:24 10:6,7	designated	disabled 45:15
43:1	currently 10:8	16:13 17:16	47:1	disagreement
council 1:4	12:8 19:21	17:17,23	destruction	4:22
13:9,16	26:12 28:4	18:3,17	41:11	discuss 18:20
counsel 65:11	34:20 39:20	19:13,14,16	destructive	discussed 5:13
count 25:23,25	50:18 51:8	19:20 20:13	33:21	53:7 56:10
county 49:11	52:1 53:16	20:18,20	detail 22:10	discussing
49:16	53:23	28:17 29:5	30:24 59:1	54:22
couple 15:19	D	30:6,19,21	detailed 28:9	discussion 6:1
court 5:21		36:7,8 38:14	determination	56:13
35:4	dad 29:12	39:22 40:14	4:3,7,15	dismantling
courtesy 12:11	dancing 9:5	40:18	develop 35:2	65:15
covenanted	11:13 22:14	Delacruz 4:9	developed 45:8	dispensing 9:2
53:12,15	23:3	61:5,5	developer	11:10
cover 13:6	dangerous 58:4	delivered 6:12	31:22 34:10	displace 47:7
covered 57:5	dark 39:11	Delta 57:21	35:15 36:6	48:15
CPC 12:2	date 12:12	demand 46:23	42:12 45:17	displacement
CPC-2014-4	13:4 63:18	47:5	46:16 56:12	27:19 32:12
1:7 7:21	dated 2:3,6,23 3:25 6:18,20	demanding	56:22 57:2	41:20 56:10
8:22	8:19,19	34:25	59:6	displaces 27:8
CRA 34:22 35:2 38:1	10:19,19	dementia 29:12	developer's 31:24	disrespectful 31:12
cream 37:2	63:9	demolish 17:19 38:16	developers	disrupts 27:8
create 21:2,25	daughter 29:3	demolished	46:11,23	district 8:24
created 27:11	day 37:11 43:9	53:18	47:6 48:18	10:23 13:10
creates 9:13	45:3 52:1	demolishing	development	17:17,24,24
11:15 43:7	65:20	17:15 18:16	2:9,13 3:1,7	18:4 19:15
creation 43:7	days 12:11	30:2	6:25 8:1,16	20:4 22:12
crisis 42:21	18:11	demolition	9:8,13,20	22:21 28:18
50:2	DB 37:8	20:13 27:5,7	11:1,15	28:21 30:18
criteria 20:24	DBs 37:10	29:7 31:2	20:24 22:17	31:1 36:9
20:25	deal 53:21	39:22	24:7 34:14	38:15,16,19
Crosswords	deals 54:20	dense 39:11	36:9 37:23	39:22,23,24
35:6	December 55:7	density 10:25	37:24 38:4	40:3,9,18,20
CSR1:16 65:4	deciding 47:18	13:12,17	39:8 41:11	40:23 41:1,2
65:25	decision 12:1	22:22 24:4	41:19 43:2	division 6:16
cubic 2:11 3:5	12:10 13:11	30:9 34:16	devices 14:2	DLA 1:14 14:18
23:18,24	13:12,14	53:13	different	15:4
cultural 28:14	60:7 63:23	department	15:17 22:21	dock 37:15
cumulative	deficiencies	3:18,19 4:12	36:25	document 54:23
40:3	5:9 6:3,14	6:12,16 7:12	difficult	documentation
Curran 39:17	28:7 33:5	12:17 31:14	33:13	55:5
39:17	54:14,17,17	33:19 34:12	digits 25:6,10	documents
current 16:4	54:21,25	64:1	25:13 26:13	55:11
27:19 28:2	deficient	department's	26:20	Dodger 48:16
29:7 30:14	28:11	11:24 12:6	dipping 34:17	doing 35:15
38:15 40:24	definitely	63:20	direction	44:22 48:17
	l	l	l	l

door 20:22	0.17 10 0.10	ongineer [7:01	ogtoblick-	foiled 25.4
door 29:22	8:17,19 9:19	engineer 57:21	establishm	failed 35:4
double 34:17	10:20 16:11	engineer's	9:3 11:11	fails 5:11
Downtown 38:10	16:16 17:2,9	60:21	et 22:6	fair 34:11
draft 3:22	17:10,19	engineering	events 31:25	faith 33:2
5:13 6:21	18:2,10	3:17 59:21	everybody	fall 27:24
8:17 16:11	20:11 22:8	59:23 60:8	15:11 58:1	falling 27:9
16:16 17:2,9	22:10 23:16	61:2	Everybody's 48:19	families 33:4
17:9,19 18:2	24:2 28:10	English 52:9		45:15
20:11 22:8	29:20,25	enjoy 48:21	evidence 5:9	family 29:9 far 9:12 17:4
22:10 23:16	31:19,21,21	ensure 5:24	5:10,12 6:3	
28:10 29:20	45:17 51:9	59:7	6:5,13,15	34:5 36:21 61:1
38:11 51:9 53:7 54:19	53:8 54:19 54:20 55:11	ensures 21:24	32:3 54:16 54:24	fault 32:8
55:11	55:11 63:11	entered 21:22		
draw 58:11	either 30:10	<pre>entertainment 9:5 11:13</pre>	exactly 56:2 exceed 21:15	35:22 58:4,5 58:6,22 59:8
drawing 18:6	34:7 54:8	22:14 23:2	exceed 21:15 excellent 15:9	faults 32:3
driving 44:13	58:12 63:18	entire 34:23	50:23	57:10,13,23
driving 44.13 due 39:20	ELDP 6:25 7:3	40:18,19	exception 13:1	58:12,13,17
duplex 29:8,9	10:16 21:11	entirety 19:6	exception 13.1	features 21:15
duplicate 60:6	33:24 34:2	54:9	27:24	22:6
dwelling 9:15	50:14,24	entitlement	excuse 2:5	February 6:18
11:1,3,16	51:1,6,6,15	22:22	execution	21:23
16:20,25	elements 33:25	entitlements	40:12	fee 61:24,25
18:24 19:3,7	34:1	10:22 22:8	existing 3:9	62:6,6
10.771 10.21	elevations	22:11,19	8:12 9:23	feedback 17:11
E	20:6,9	24:1,1	10:13 19:12	17:22 18:5
e-mail 6:10	eliminate	entrance 43:17	20:1 27:8,14	18:13 19:1,2
12:13	60:13	ENV-2014-4	30:16 31:2	19:24
e-mails 4:20	eliminated	8:18 10:18	exists 19:21	feel 42:10
4:24 5:2,3,6	60:1	63:4	exit 43:17	55:14
6:10	Ellis 51:24	ENV-201447	expanded 37:7	feeling 55:3
earlier 12:1	53:24,25	1:9	expect 43:16	fees 62:6,7
22:10	54:1,2,4	Environment	expected 37:6	feet 2:13,19
earthquake	Elva 1:10 62:3	27:2 28:6	experienced	3:8,15 8:1,6
32:8 33:6	62:15	environmental	58:17	9:7,10,14,21
57:10,13,23	emergency 5:16	5:9,17 6:4	expert 57:11	10:4 11:7,8
58:3,13,17	emissions 21:4	6:14,24 7:13	experts 58:22	16:21,24
58:22	28:12	8:15,16	expired 26:17	17:3 19:10
earthquakes	emphasize	10:17 20:23	export 2:11	22:15 30:1
57:23 58:2	41:15	28:8,22	3:5 23:18,23	58:12,14
easement 40:15	employs 22:4	29:19 36:20	extent 28:15	Fernando 58:6
east 20:9	empty 47:17	38:12 54:15	extraordinary	file 12:5
Eaton 42:16,17	encourage 4:25	54:23,25	31:25	60:25
economic 7:5	38:23 41:3	55:11 63:3		fill 63:24
effect 51:2	49:20	environmen	F 5 121 5 12	final 3:7 4:23
efficiency 7:8	energy 7:8	51:12	facility 5:13	8:19 9:19
21:9,16	21:16	especially	fact 31:14	10:20 13:15
eh 27:22	energy-eff	42:10 44:14	32:6,21	18:10 29:25
eight 18:18	21:17	45:25	54:24	31:19,20,21
EIR 3:7 4:23	enforce 59:15	established	facts 16:15	54:19 55:11
5:13 6:21	engine 37:10	12:21	18:21 62:25	63:11
1				

<u>.</u>]	 	l	l _
Finally 6:1	10:13 16:9,9	given 4:1,15	Grading 3:18	head 35:11
find 31:11	25:6,10,13	31:16,25	great 29:25	health 29:13
32:16	26:13,20	32:17 33:3	39:8,10 43:9	Healthcare
findings 6:22	55:8	49:4 52:21	44:23 47:10	27:3,6 28:6
62:25	free 43:25	54:16 55:12	greater 32:10	hear 13:21,23
Fine 38:8,9	freeway 43:17	59:5	greenhouse	14:4,16,24
firm 27:2 35:5	friendly 13:25	giving 46:25	28:11	14:25 24:17
first 14:3	front 48:11	48:14,18	ground 2:8,25	26:6,16,19
16:18 31:11	60:4	49:1	23:10,21	33:11,13,14
32:6 33:11	frozen 37:1	glad 28:19	58:4	36:15 39:4,5
45:9 50:24	frustration	go12:8 14:13	Group 57:21	42:2,3 48:5
60:13	55:3	15:1,7 22:9	guarantee 28:2	48:6 50:21
Firstly 33:24	fulfill 52:25	27:20 35:13	43:22	61:18
fit 15:24	full 41:17	36:22,25	guaranteed	heard 32:24
five 2:25 4:20	fully 17:6	37:13 39:12	34:13 41:17	49:3 63:16
9:3 10:10	54:4	40:10 41:3	guaranteeing	hearing $1:11$
16:14 23:21	funny 44:16	42:4 43:5	47:7	7:20 9:16
five-acre 37:4	further 17:24	44:16 46:13	guest 2:18 8:5	11:17,19,22
fixed 48:23,25	18:20 19:2	49:11 50:22	guys 32:7,16	11:22 12:2
floor 2:14 3:8	30:24 40:4	51:14 53:4	32:21 39:4	12:19,21
8:1 9:10,11	40:10 63:22	57:6,14,18	44:24 48:12	13:20,21
9:14,21 11:7	65:11	59:17 60:9	48:17 50:1	14:8,8 15:3
11:7 19:11	Furthermore	61:11 62:16		18:11 24:22
22:25	32:20	goes 30:24	н	24:22,24
follow14:1	future 60:25	50:1	habitable	25:20 29:17
follow-up		going 14:5,19	35:20,21	45:25 48:10
25:18	G	24:20 25:11	hand 25:3,4	55:4 60:15
following	Ganglin 32:6	25:22,25	26:1,8,12	61:15 63:9
10:22 11:5	garage 30:4	30:10 34:1	48:2 57:16	63:14 64:5
15:11 25:19	gas 28:12	34:19 35:12	hand-deliv	height 8:23
34:4 53:23	general 17:11	35:24 36:13	6:11	10:23 13:10
54:1	54:17	36:24,24	hands 48:1	16:19 18:23
follows 17:12	generally 62:9	37:13 45:7	50:9	22:12,21
food 37:1	gentleman	45:24 47:1,5	haphazard	held 31:22
46:18,18,19	48:14 52:3	47:12,13	37:22,23,24	Hello 26:24
foot 2:10 3:3	geologic 58:11	48:21 50:9	happen 37:17	31:9 36:14
form 62:19	geologist 32:5	52:17 57:8	49:10 52:18	39:4 41:8
63:25	geologists	59:17	happened 48:13	45:6 48:5
format 14:8	58:17,21,21	good 2:1 15:2	hard 15:9	49:19 50:20
24:22,22	geology 6:17	29:2 33:2	33:11,14	Helmets 22:3
forward 23:9	George 59:20	38:8 42:1,16	Hardhats 22:3	help 36:8
27:20 33:16	geotech 57:11 57:11	44:17 45:3	haul 2:10 3:4 3:24 23:17	42:20 56:6,7
38:24 45:10	geotechnical	48:19 62:24	23:22 62:21	helpfulness
45:25 46:11 50:10 52:18	57:20	Google 30:6	63:12	29:15
53:9,10	getting 18:5	Gotcha 57:19 govern 53:21	Hayward 5:19	helps 42:22 hereunto 65:19
-	GHG 21:4		haywire 37:20	
found 5:21 36:20	Gil 4:9 61:5	government 37:19	hazard 58:7	Heritage 39:18 39:19 40:5
Foundation	give 15:5 26:1	governor 6:23	hazardous	Hey 44:22
27:3,7 28:6	47:6,19	7:2 50:25	28:12	hi 14:16,23
four 2:24	59:18	51:8	hazards 58:3,3	24:24 33:9
				21.21 33.7

	 	 	l. ₋	1
36:4 42:1,5	hotels 41:22	58:1	indicating	65:2,24
45:6 46:8	44:1	impossible	26:5,16	Jason 26:19
high 7:5 21:2	hours 37:12,24	37:18	indoor 21:18	50:9
21:25	44:15	improve 39:13	industry 42:18	Jim 36:16
high-rise	house 8:18	improvement	infill 7:7	job 15:9 43:6
23:10	44:6	60:4,9	information	jobs 7:6 21:3
highly-ski	households	improvements	6:21 13:1,3	21:25 36:23
7:6 21:2,25	3:14 10:3	59:24	24:13 59:2,3	36:25 49:7
highway 35:24	housing $11:1$	inadequately	informed 12:10	John 36:20
historic 17:17	17:14 27:8	28:22	informing	Johnson 41:8,9
17:23 18:4	27:16,18	incentive 11:5	60:25	joined 25:11
20:4 28:17	28:5 32:12	11:6 22:24	initial 13:11	Josh 49:19,19
28:21 30:18	33:9 34:5,19	24:5	input 12:23	judge 55:24
31:1 38:15	34:24 35:3	include 2:17	33:22	July 2:23 7:2
40:4,23 43:4	35:10,12	2:20 3:12	instructions	32:5 63:10
history 43:5	36:19 41:12	8:4,8 12:3	13:5 14:9	jump 62:3
holding 65:3	41:21 42:21	16:25 18:25	24:23 26:14	
holes 43:14	43:6 46:13	22:11 24:5	<pre>integrity 36:9</pre>	K
Hollywood	46:14,15,15	28:11 34:15	<pre>intended 36:18</pre>	Kathleen 27:1
15:16,18	46:21,22,24	44:9	Interest 27:2	keep 33:20
27:14 32:4	46:25 47:3,3	included 17:15	interested	42:22 46:24
32:13,14	47:8,9,10,13	19:1 23:16	12:14 63:22	keeps 42:24
33:11 34:23	47:13 49:23	58:19	63:24 65:13	keys 33:3
36:5 37:25	50:2,3 53:5	includes 2:4,6	interior 40:12	kids 37:3
39:7,18,19	55:19,21	5:8 6:1,2,13	intersection	kilowatts
40:5,25 41:1	56:3,6	7:3 8:17	16:3 44:10	21:21
41:1,14,22	HUD 36:18	10:17 15:23	introductory	kind 35:4
41:22 42:6	huge 32:8	19:9 20:12	15:13	36:18 38:3
42:17 46:20	human 33:10	20:18 22:2	investment 7:5	King 36:21
47:17 48:21	hundreds 49:22	24:3,6 53:11	involved 40:11	Kinsbursky
49:1,15,23	Hunter 33:9,9	including	involves 8:16	1:16 65:2,24
55:20	35:8	15:18 22:23	8:23	know14:20
home 36:24		39:22 44:4	involving 7:21	27:5 29:22
homeless 34:19	I	56:4	Ishmael 43:12	30:14 31:15
34:20 49:15	ice 37:1	income 3:14	issue 34:5	33:12,20
homelessness	idea 45:23	10:3 11:3	50:14 60:7	34:18 39:11
27:9 41:21	<pre>identified 3:6</pre>	18:25 19:8	61:21	39:12 42:11
homes 20:1	9:19 29:18	22:23 29:10	issued 44:5	43:1,4 44:24
27:10 30:16	63:11	42:21 47:2	65:3	45:11 48:11
36:7 40:15	impact 4:21	47:13 48:23	issues 4:22	48:12 57:22
42:10	5:10,17 6:4	48:25 53:11	29:13 35:23	61:14
honestly 32:15	6:14 7:13	53:15 54:14	53:2 54:21	known 6:25
45:21	8:17 10:18	56:5	55:1 56:24	21:10,24
hospitality	38:12 39:21	incorporated	61:1	knows 35:25
42:18	40:3 54:15	3:21 4:14	item1:7 63:14	Kyndra 1:14
hotel 2:18 8:5	63:3	increase 9:11	items 63:23	14:19,23
9:3,7 15:23	impacts 4:22	11:6 22:25		15:4 35:5,10
16:18,20	5:14,16	27:17 56:6		50:20 60:16
17:2 22:15	28:12,13,14	increased	J1:14	60:19
24:6 33:25	28:22 38:13	56:18	J.H6:11	
34:1 37:9	important 58:1	indicate 25:2	Jaclyn 1:16	L
	I	I	I	I

L.A35:25	17:7 23:12	33:11 42:17] mi go 16:10	
	30:4		low-rise 16:12 16:22 17:21	massive 50:2
48:12,14		located 7:7,22		master 2:7,25
49:11,16	liability 32:9	8:10 10:11	Luis 31:9	9:1 11:9
L.P1:13	license 65:3	15:15,21	luxury 34:10	13:12,14
labor 21:23,24	lie 31:18	17:16 18:3	41:21	22:12,25
22:2	lieu 11:8	63:25		23:21
LADOT 3:24	lighting 3:19	location 13:4		material 28:13
7:16 35:23	4:4,8 7:17	36:18	Maddren 5:6 mailing 12:13	materialized
62:20	21:17,18	log 6:18,20	_	35:18
LADWP 3:18	61:6 62:19	long 29:9	maintain 42:25	matter 56:11
LAFD 3:18	limit 14:5	44:24 48:8	maintaining	maximum 11:8
LAMC 37:9	24:20	longer 18:16	42:10	McFAYE 14:11
landscape	limited 12:19	24:6	maintains	26:20,22
19:19	17:22	longtime 32:13	30:16	29:1 30:12
landscaped	limits 36:18	46:9	maintenance	31:7 32:19
19:17,23	line 15:19	look 30:23	40:19	33:8 35:7
large 29:22	24:12 35:22	53:9 60:3	major 9:8	36:2,11 38:2
larger 27:14	59:2 62:10	looked 59:1	22:16 24:7	38:6 39:2,15
LATU 41:9	lines 58:19	looking 15:12	majority 27:18	41:6,24
law 21:11 27:2	liquidated	47:18 57:3	making 13:8,11	42:14 43:10
34:4 35:20	35:2	58:17	43:21	44:18,20
51:2 53:15	list 63:22	looks 21:9	map 2:3,23	45:4 46:5
54:6 55:12	64:3	looming 30:17	6:17 22:11	47:24 48:3
55:15,17	listed 38:14	lord 35:25	23:4,6,7,14	49:13,17
laws 53:13,20	listen 25:12	Los 1:4,5	23:17,19	50:4 57:15
53:20,20,23	26:12 48:20	27:15 32:2	59:3 61:7	McQuiston 6:11
53:24	listening	32:10,12	63:9	36:14,16,17
lays 56:23	13:25 25:9	33:10 38:9	mapping 30:19	38:3
leadership	38:22 46:10	38:10 46:10	maps 58:11,12	mean 49:21,25
6:25 8:15	literally	46:12,20	Mar 3:11 7:24	means 34:8,24
20:24	30:10	47:16 49:20	9:24 10:6,8	36:23
leave 60:14	litigation	50:2	16:13 17:16	meet 7:8 12:21
LED 21:17	55:23,24	loss 27:10	17:17,23	52:12 56:7
LEED 7:6 21:5	little 39:11	32:11 34:6,9	18:3,17 19:13,14,17	meeting 12:4
21:8	41:4	38:18,20	19:21 20:13	12:10,11,12
left 20:12	live 9:5 11:13	39:24,25		12:18,18,22
44:18 49:13	22:14 23:2	lot 2:8,25	20:18,20	13:3,4 14:3
legal 12:21	31:21 36:22	19:16,22	28:17 29:5	21:3 25:12
legally 29:24	39:7 43:14	23:10,21	30:6,19,22	48:9 63:17
37:18 59:7	43:20 45:7	lots 2:5,7,8	36:8,8 38:14	63:18,24,25
Let's 14:13	48:20 49:5	2:24 3:1	39:22 40:14	meetings 52:13
letter 4:3,5,7	lived 32:14	23:11,15,21	40:18 Mariana 45:6,6	52:14
4:14 5:5,8	lives 27:9	30:21	· ·	meets 39:9
6:8,13,18,19	47:21 52:3	louder 37:9	49:14 Marisse 29:4	42:9
28:9 30:23	living 21:3	low 3:14 10:3	Mark 39:6	member 4:8,16
56:23 60:7	22:1 42:23	11:3 18:25	mark 39:6	43:13 61:14
letters 3:25	43:1 46:9	19:8 22:23		members 13:19
4:19 7:17	48:11 50:18	30:8,9 42:21	53:22 54:6	24:12,16
63:23	LLP1:14	47:2,13	Markets 36:21 Martinez 48:5	memo 60:22
levels 8:9	loading 37:15	53:11,15		mention 24:11
10:10 17:6,7	local 5:22	54:13 56:4	48:7,7	35:22 49:23
	•	•	•	•

	i	İ	I	İ
49:24	39:7 40:6,20	necessary	nonresiden	58:19
mentioned	42:8,19	59:25	9:10,14	
20:22 48:19	50:15 53:8	necessitate	north 1:5 7:22	0
53:6 56:11	53:10,19	5:12	7:24 20:7	object 30:14
merger 2:4,7	54:7,13 56:5	need 34:2,21	40:14	41:10
2:24	61:7 63:8,10	35:9,10,12	note 17:14	objections
message 13:24	moment 26:1,4	35:25 36:23	21:1 25:15	60:21
met 34:23	26:17 57:12	36:24 41:21	28:14 55:6	objects 27:7
55:20	money 35:2	41:22 43:6,6	57:6	observe 37:21
Metro 15:19	monitoring	43:7 54:9	noted 17:8	observed 58:20
microphone	63:5	62:10	19:4 54:14	obtain 58:16
33:13	morale 42:22	needed 39:9	55:22	obtained 63:17
middle 33:17	morning 2:1	needs 35:1	notes 53:5	obtaining
Mike 57:10,15	15:2 29:2	51:14 52:6	57:3	12:23
57:20 59:10	38:8 42:1,16	neighborhood	notice 12:11	obviously 26:6
miles 21:10	mother 29:13	30:25 42:9	12:21	29:21,24
million 7:4	move 18:18	48:15	notices 52:8	31:24 58:1
21:1	19:18 23:9	neither 65:11	63:22	occur 20:4
minimum 21:1	36:13 45:10	net 21:4	noticing 55:16	October 6:20
21:16,19,20	45:24 50:10	never 31:20,21	Nufio-O'Do	offer 22:13
21:21	53:9	31:22 32:24	1:10 13:18	56:14 57:1
minorities	moves 38:24	32:24 35:18	14:12,17,25	offers 42:25
33:4 45:15	moving 15:13	37:15	24:15 36:15	office 15:23
minute 30:12	16:1,9,14	new 2:14,15	39:5 42:3	63:19
32:19 35:7	17:8 18:6,20	3:8 5:8,13	46:3 47:22	officer 1:11
38:2 44:18	20:5,8,10,21	6:2,13 8:2,3	48:2,6 50:8	9:16 11:18
49:13	21:14,22	9:22 16:20	50:22 53:1	14:8 15:3 24:25 25:20
minutes 14:6	22:7,18 23:5	18:24 19:2,7	57:5,14 59:9	29:17
24:20 26:17	23:24 24:8	22:24 27:22	59:12 60:10	Okay 13:21
mitigate 5:18	52:18 53:10 multi-family	32:21 34:14	61:4,10,19	50:23 57:19
mitigation 63:5	2:18 3:13	47:9 48:14 53:18 59:2	62:2,14,16 62:24	61:20 62:24
mix 16:18	8:5 10:2	61:8 62:20	null 65:17	old 47:10
mixed-use 2:8	multiple 35:22	newer 42:23	number 3:16	older 48:24
2:13 3:1,7	mute 26:18	nice 47:14	6:19,20 7:14	on-menu 11:5
7:25 9:20	muted 14:20	nicely 15:24	8:18 10:19	24:4
16:16 18:22	myth 47:2	night 37:11	14:5,9 15:23	on-site 9:2
modified 3:6	my CII 4 / · Z	nine 18:20	16:2 17:11	11:10
4:21,25 5:2	N	25:3 26:5,9	18:18 20:5	on-street
9:18 10:16	name 11:17	47:25 50:5	20:25 23:6	30:11
10:17 15:6	12:13 13:22	57:18	24:11,19,23	one-3:9 9:23
18:8,9,9,12	15:4 29:2	noise 28:13	25:1,7,10,23	30:7
18:12,19,21	31:9 36:4	29:23 37:8	26:13,21	ones 60:14
19:19 20:6,9	39:6 41:9	37:10 41:13	32:22,23	open 19:17,23
20:16,23	42:5,16	54:18	43:15 45:18	20:19 51:21
22:5,19 23:7	43:12 45:6	non-required	51:19 53:16	opening 20:22
23:14,19,22	46:8 48:7	52:7	55:2 56:9	operation 7:25
24:2,3 27:15	49:19 52:15	noncontrib	57:16 63:14	9:20
28:19 30:15	65:20	17:18 18:17	numbers 25:24	opportunity
31:3 36:5,5	narrow 30:7,9	19:14 20:2	33:12,14	25:16 26:25
38:19,23	nearby 48:21	20:17	numerous 57:21	59:18
	ĺ			

	I	I	I	1
oppose 31:2	29:12	55:3,14 58:2	56:7	potential 5:16
opposed 4:24	parks 3:20	people's 42:22	planner 1:10	41:20
39:19 40:21	7:17 37:4	47:20	25:21 64:2	potentially
48:8	61:12,14,18	percent 9:11	planning 1:1	27:24 58:4
options 42:25	61:23	11:2,2,6	2:2 4:19 5:1	prefer 23:8
order 25:11	part 17:17	21:12,13,17	7:12 9:17	preparation
48:15 49:7	28:20 44:7	21:19,20	11:20,23,24	12:24
62:17	49:15 58:22	22:25 34:23	12:6,7,9,17	prepare 11:22
ordinance 6:7	59:16	34:25 39:24	13:8,10,13	<pre>prepared 3:23</pre>
27:23,23,25	Participant	55:20,25	17:25 31:4	7:13
54:11 56:19	26:22 31:7	56:8	31:14 33:18	present 5:11
original 28:15	33:8 39:2,15	Perfect 39:6	34:12 38:25	14:9,14
65:16	41:6,24,25	61:20	40:12 55:8	24:22
outcome 65:13	42:14 43:10	period11:4	60:24 62:4	presentation
outdoor 21:19	44:20 45:4	50:3,10	63:20	13:17 14:14
outlined 16:7	46:6 48:3	permit 9:1,4,7	planning.l	14:15,19
outreach 50:17	49:17	9:8 11:6,9	63:20	15:6 24:9
51:17,18	participants	11:13 12:19	plans 37:25	53:6
52:19	14:5 24:19	13:13,13,14	40:25 41:5	presentations
overall 3:4	participate	22:13,14,15	please 13:22	14:2
overriding	22:3	22:16,24	14:2 25:3,9	presented
63:6	participation	23:1,2 24:6	25:12,15,16	16:10,15
overview 15:14	33:18,20	58:16	30:23 36:2	17:1,9 18:1
overwhelmi	36:2 64:6	permitted 11:9	36:11,12,12	18:10 62:25
33:6	particular	perpetuity	37:21,21,21	63:8
owner 52:8,15	25:11	40:16	38:6 39:2,15	presents 5:9
owners 29:4	parties 12:14	person 52:12	41:6,24,25	6:3,14
P	42:23	personally	42:14 43:10	preservation
	parties' 63:22	52:16	44:20 45:4,9	40:11,15,17
package 28:3	63:25	phone 25:7,10	46:6 47:17	49:24
41:18	party 60:25	25:24 26:13	47:21,25	preserve 28:20
page 14:3	65:12	26:21 33:14	48:3 49:11	36:8 40:9
<pre>pandemic 33:17 45:11,21</pre>	pass 46:18	57:16	49:17 50:4	43:4 44:24
· ·	passed 58:7	physical 5:15	57:15,17	preserved
46:1,17,19 52:12	patience 14:7	picture 20:11	63:24	44:25
panels 21:21	24:21 Paul 36:4,4	23:6 Piper 1:14	plus 32:12 Plymouth 39:18	preserves 19:12
parcel 16:6,6	pay 22:1	14:19 15:4	podium 8:10	preserving
parcels 16:5	pay 22.1 paying 28:4	PLA 21:24 22:6	10:11 17:5	18:2 36:7
parents 29:11	47:9	place 38:4	point 34:17	42:9
park 4:12,17	payment 54:2	placed 26:18	51:2 62:17	press 14:21
20:2,5 37:2	Peace 45:3	places 37:1	police 4:21	25:3,7,14
44:2 62:18	people 11:20	plan 9:12	police 4.21	26:8,14 36:2
parking 8:10	25:1,23,24	11:15 13:15	41:13	36:11,12,12
8:11 10:11	25:25 26:4	19:19 22:17	population	38:6,23 39:2
10:12 17:4	26:11 36:23	23:3 34:7,8	37:6,8 49:15	39:15 41:6
19:15,22	43:20,23	34:13,22	portion 1:16	41:24,25
23:11 30:11	44:13 46:16	35:15 37:25	3:3	42:14 43:10
43:22,23,24	46:24 47:1,3	40:18,25	posted 18:11	44:20 45:4
44:3	47:19 48:20	41:16 43:3	55:12	46:6 47:25
Parkinson's	49:7 50:18	55:22 56:1,3	posting 55:16	48:3 49:17
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

50:5 57:18	9:13 10:15	62:20 63:4	31:17 32:17	62:7,7
pressed 26:4	10:17 11:1	63:11	33:18,19	R4-2D8:25
_			50:10 51:10	10:24 16:6
pretty 45:21 prevailing	11:12,14,15 12:7 15:6,13	projects 20:24		
21:3 22:1	•	33:16,21	53:3 63:14	raise 25:3
	15:15,15,17	43:8 53:7	64:5	57:15
prevent 41:20	15:20,21,24	promised 31:22	publicly 19:23 20:19	raised 25:4,25
previous 16:23	15:25 16:1,3	properties 31:23,24		26:8,12 48:1
previously 7:18 24:19	16:7,10,11 16:15,15,16	38:21 62:7,8	purported 54:21	50:9,13,16 57:22 61:1
24:25 55:23		1	purposes 2:25	raising 38:13
61:23	17:1,5,9,12 17:14,19	<pre>property 7:21 29:4,5,10,18</pre>	58:25	ramrodded 55:6
price 27:13	18:7 19:4,9	52:15	pursuant 56:19	ramrodding
Primarily	19:11,22	proposal 30:14	63:10	33:20 55:10
38:13	20:11,22	30:15 33:25	pushing 33:16	rate 27:12
primary 38:20	21:4,7,11,12	43:7	put 31:20	47:9
principal	21:4,7,11,12	propose 53:19	37:15,16	ratio 9:12
57:20	21:23,24,25	proposed 5:12	38:4 47:6	19:11
Priolo 58:8	22:1,2,4,10	18:12,19,21	60:24	re-subdivi
prior 12:12,17	22:17,20	20:6,8,15	puts 37:10	2:5,7,24
12:22 13:3	23:8,16 24:2	22:19 23:17	putting 35:17	reach 27:13
51:9 52:11	24:7,18	23:18,22	puccing 33.17	reached 28:18
private 56:11	26:25 27:5	29:7 31:10	Q	read 4:10
56:17,20,21	27:11,20	32:15,22,22	Q)C2-2D8:25	60:17 61:22
56:21 57:2	28:8,15,23	38:15,17	10:24	61:24,25
59:14,15	29:7,22 30:1	39:20 45:18	Q)C2-2D-SN	Reader 57:10
probable 56:10	30:3,17,21	proposes 19:22	8:24 10:23	57:19,20
58:13	31:10 32:22	proposing 18:7	Q)R3-2D8:25	59:11
problem 35:8	32:22 33:7	30:3 40:6	10:25	ready 55:4
35:16,19	33:25 35:6	protect 17:24	Q]R3-1XL8:25	real 33:18,19
37:15 43:16	38:12,15,17	40:15	10:24 16:7	35:16
44:3	38:24 39:20	protection	quake 32:3	realistically
problems 36:20	40:22 41:15	40:19	qualified 7:6	34:22,25
37:14	42:20 43:15	provide 5:22	40:11	really 29:14
proceed 13:23	43:21 44:6,7	7:5 21:20	quality 28:12	33:19,21
50:7	44:17,23	27:16 35:9	29:23 54:18	39:12 45:24
proceedings	45:2,7,10,18	46:19,24	question 50:24	Realty 1:13
65:7	47:20 48:8	59:19	51:16	15:5
process 29:15	48:20 49:12	provided 5:25	questions	reason 35:3,17
31:12 43:2	49:14,21	10:12 12:11	13:20 24:12	51:14
46:14 53:25	50:11,16,25	13:6 17:5	24:16 25:18	reasonable
54:1 55:6,7	51:1,3,5,6,9	38:11	51:22 53:2	5:23
55:8	51:15,18,20	provides 12:13	quick 25:22	reasons 28:23
<pre>program 22:3,4</pre>	51:21 52:17	provision 5:24	43:5	reassess 34:2
63:5	53:8,8,18,24	proximity	quickly 36:17	reassessed
project 2:12	54:3,7,10	15:17	Quimby 61:24	51:5,14
3:4 4:24	55:5 56:1,1	public 5:22,25	61:25 62:6	reassure 49:4
5:12,18 6:5	56:4,10,11	7:19 12:4,18	quote 32:2	Rec 3:19 4:12
6:22,24,25	56:13,14	12:23 14:4		4:16 7:17
7:3,4,14,24	57:3,11	17:10,25	R	61:12,14,17
8:14,15,16	58:25 59:8	19:17 24:17	R9:7 22:16	62:18
9:4,6,8,12	60:12,24	26:6 27:2	R3 61:24 62:1	receive 52:7
		I	I	I

		1	1	1
63:22	region 27:15	rental 29:10	required 24:13	respond 5:5
received 3:17	Regional 37:18	29:10	51:13 52:7	6:8 43:18
3:23 4:14,19	register 38:14	renters 44:15	55:21 59:7	50:13
4:20 5:1,5	rehabilita	repealed 13:16	requirement	responded
6:8 17:11	40:13	repeated 59:24	21:11 34:3	29:20,24
18:14 19:25	reiterate	replace 17:20	34:24 51:4	responding
45:16 62:18	63:15	54:8	53:13 55:21	19:24
recertified	related 16:15	replaced 20:14	55:25 56:1,4	response 5:16
51:5,15	17:12 51:16	34:7	56:8 59:4	29:21 54:20
recognized	51:19,22	replaces 19:15	requirements	responses 4:23
37:19 58:7	54:17,25	replacing 19:6	6:6 12:22	responsive
58:21	55:2,13,19	53:22 54:5	21:3,8 39:9	29:24
recommenda	55:23 65:12	report 3:22	42:9,12 54:5	responsive
12:6 13:2,9	relationship	5:10 6:4,15	requires 5:18	29:15
63:1	51:17	6:17,19 7:13	5:22 27:5	restaurant
recommenda	relocating	8:17 10:18	35:20 51:8	2:20 3:16
11:24 60:22	61:9	11:23 12:3,5	requiring	8:7 10:5
61:2	relocation	12:16,24	27:21	16:19,22
recommends	28:3 41:18	13:7,20	reserving 11:2	17:4 19:10
6:17 7:12	51:20,23	24:10 33:6,6	reside 45:14	restricted
record 4:11	54:2	38:12 44:5,9	residence 10:5	11:4
7:11 8:21	remain 4:24	54:15 59:22	10:7	result 7:4
10:21 60:18	10:6 20:2	60:17 63:1,3	residences	27:18 30:16
60:20,23	28:22 40:21	63:7,13	10:5,13	resulting
61:6,22 63:2	44:25	Reported 1:16	18:17 19:13	39:24
Recreation	remaining	Reporter 65:3	20:13 30:8,9	results 27:10
61:23	30:12 32:19	reporter's	30:20 31:2	58:23 59:4
redevelopment	remains 19:11	13:2 65:1,16	38:16 43:4	retain 40:7
40:25 55:22	remarks 20:22	reports 3:17	resident 31:10	retains 20:17
55:25 56:3	remember 48:12	58:20 63:23	36:4 42:6,17	return 28:3
reduce 21:12	reminder 13:25	represent 15:4	42:25 49:20	34:11,13
reduces 21:13	remove 54:6	27:17 38:18	residential	35:16 41:17
reducing 21:18	removed 8:14	representa	2:18,21 3:13	44:16 51:24
21:19	10:15	1:14 13:22	8:5,8,12,13	56:15 57:1
reference 32:2	removing 30:20	50:13 60:16	10:2,8,14,14	returning 40:8
60:25	34:1 53:21	60:19,20	15:24 16:13	review 4:16,20
referenced	render 65:16	representing	16:18,23	5:4 9:13
7:18 9:22	rendering	27:2 39:17	17:16,20,21	10:25 11:15
references	16:10 18:8	request 2:2	18:3,22	13:15 17:10
60:13	18:15,19	23:15 40:17	20:14 28:21	17:25 22:17
reflect 62:22	rent 27:6,22	52:25	residents	22:22 23:3
reflected 4:7	28:4 34:15	requested	27:18 31:1	24:4 28:8
refreshment	34:20 41:17	10:22 11:5	32:9,13	31:16,17
39:10	42:24 49:8	18:1	36:21 45:22	32:17 33:1
regard 39:21	49:22 54:11	requesting	48:18,23,24	51:10 55:5
40:1	56:16,18,18	17:13 22:24	48:24 49:5	reviewed 6:16
regarding 3:16	56:19	53:9	resolved 43:16	24:9 58:24
13:9,12	rent-contr	requests 17:15	45:12	revise 41:4
24:18 30:24	19:5	52:24	resource 28:14	revised 4:5
38:13 50:24	rent-stabi	require 6:7	resources 40:5	7:16
55:3,18	27:7 54:12	34:12 54:8	40:23	revision 4:6
	I	ı	ı	ı

61:13	31:1	17:7	 sir 44:17	soil 2:12 3:5
rich 15:22	Saldivar 31:9	send 13:5	site 2:10,16	soils 6:19
right 20:15	31:10 32:20	60:22	3:4 7:7 8:4	solar 21:21
24:17 26:3	sale 9:1 11:10	seniors 33:5	8:14 9:4,6	solid 4:21 6:2
28:3 29:22	23:1	45:15	9:12,25	Soloro 48:7
32:18 34:13	San 38:14 58:6	sent 52:8,8	10:15 11:14	somebody 51:25
34:17 35:16	Sanitation 6:6	separate 3:25	11:15 13:13	sorry 10:19
36:7,16	saw 48:2	September 12:9	13:15 15:15	14:12 57:19
41:17 44:13	saying 31:15	63:16 65:20	16:1,3,5,7	59:11 61:12
44:14,16	34:18	service 58:11	19:4,5,19	61:20 62:3
48:14,18	says 32:5,5	services 5:23	22:17 23:3	sounds 47:14
50:1,8 51:24	35:15 44:6	5:25	32:15 50:18	south 20:7
56:15,21	56:2,20	set 3:14 10:3	51:18,20,21	39:18
57:1,6,16	SB73451:3,4	33:23	52:1,4,23	space 19:17,23
59:12 61:15	scale 30:9	setting 40:4	53:14,17	20:19
rights 33:10	40:1,22	40:22	54:3 56:10	Spanish 51:17
51:24	scape 39:25	seven 2:5 18:7	56:12,14,22	52:5,5,6,9
Riley 1:13	SCH 1:9	18:8	57:3,22	52:13,22,22
15:5	scheduled 7:19	shaking 35:11	sites 30:21	52:23
risks 27:9	12:8 63:15	Shawna 41:9	sitting 47:17	Spanish-sp
Robert 52:15	school 37:6	sheet 13:6	situation 44:8	45:22 50:17
roll 26:10	Scott 38:8,9	shelters 46:18	six 8:9,12	52:10
Romas 29:3	scumbag 45:1	shit 45:1	14:21 17:8	speak 11:20
Ron 32:6	second 25:22	shopping 36:22	25:7,14	25:2,5,16,19
room1:4 25:1	32:11 37:14	shortage 46:21	26:14 30:1	26:1,5 33:15
rooms 2:18 8:5	51:16	46:21	36:3,11,12	36:17 47:25
16:20 17:2	seconds 50:6	shorthand 65:2	36:13,19	50:5 51:21
route 2:11 3:4	secretary	65:8	38:7 39:3,16	52:2,4,4
3:24 23:17	40:12	show 15:12,25	41:7,25,25	57:12 59:18
23:22 62:21	Section 5:21	60:20	42:15,18	61:16
63:12	security 47:19	shown 33:2	43:11 44:21	speaker 24:20
RSO 19:3,7	see 15:16 16:2	shows 16:14	45:5 46:7	46:6 55:22
27:10 31:23	16:4,11	side 20:15	48:4 49:18	61:17,20
32:12 34:6	18:15 19:18	32:4 58:12	six-level 17:5	62:9
34:10 41:12	19:20 20:1	side-by-side	size 40:1,21	speakers 14:10
41:17 42:11	20:11,15	20:11	skyscrapers	24:23 46:4
45:17 53:16	23:9,15,20	sidewalk 37:7	32:4	46:11 54:22
53:17,21	24:1 25:4	significant	slide 15:12,12	speaking 26:15
ruled 55:24	28:19 31:24	5:14 27:17	15:13,14,14	29:13 50:18
run 32:3	40:11 47:24	38:18 39:20	16:2,5,7,9,9	special 58:8
rupture 58:4	48:1 50:9	silver 7:6	16:14,23	58:15,16
ruptures 58:6	57:4,17	21:8	17:8 18:6,8	specialist
rush 44:15	seeing 26:10	similar 22:20	18:18,20	51:20
s	50:5	24:3 56:17	19:18 20:5,8	specific 60:5
	seeked 39:12	simply 30:15	20:10,15,21	62:20
S3 59:25	seen 32:24	single 52:1	21:14,22	speculation
safe 35:20,21	60:1	single-family	22:7,7,18	31:25
safety 3:18	seismic 4:22	3:10 9:23	23:5,5,13,13	spoke 52:16
5:23,25 6:6	41:13	10:7,9,13	23:15,20,24	56:12
57:25	selected 51:12	40:8	23:25 24:8	spoken 26:7
sake 30:25,25	semi-subte	singular 20:16	small 30:7	spokesperson

	İ	ı	I	I
46:16	8:18 10:18	structures	42:19 43:23	28:2 31:23
SPRING 1:5	13:22 32:4,5	17:18 19:14	45:2 49:8	33:4 41:18
square 2:10,13	34:2 35:20	20:2,18	supported	45:13 47:6
2:19 3:3,8	37:22 53:12	28:20 29:6	54:23	51:18,19,22
3:15 8:1,6	53:15,20	30:3	supporting	52:1,4,8,10
9:9,14,21	55:12 58:7	studies 57:21	42:7,13	52:13,17,20
10:4 11:7,8	58:10,21	58:18,22,23	suppose 37:16	52:21 54:3
16:21 17:3	60:18 65:4	study 58:2,8	supposed 36:22	56:9,12,13
19:9	stated12:1	58:15,16	37:4,7,16	56:14,22,22
stabilization	24:18,25	59:5	supposedly	tentative 2:3
27:23	statement 63:5	subdivision	31:20	2:23 22:11
stabilized	states 13:24	6:22 23:20	sure 4:10 30:5	23:3,6,14,17
27:6 49:22	status 33:24	59:17	34:3 35:10	63:9
Stadium 48:16	34:2 50:15	subject 7:15	45:21 53:4	tentatively
staff 2:2 3:22	50:24	19:3,7 27:22	60:3,6,23	40:20
4:8,17,19	statute 51:7	27:22 54:10	62:4	terms 38:20
5:1,4 6:8,12	51:11	63:13	surface 10:12	59:14
7:12 11:23	staying 42:11	submit 11:20	19:15,22	testimony 9:17
12:3,24 13:2	42:12	12:25 25:19	surrounding	11:21 12:20
13:7,17,20	stays 60:23	25:22 31:4	40:2,5,24	14:4,11
13:20 24:9	step 54:1	61:13	surroundings	24:18 26:6
33:14 38:21	stock 41:12	submitted 4:5	16:1	26:21 63:1
59:22 60:17	Stop 49:14	4:13 6:23	Susan 33:9	thank 13:18
60:24 63:1,7	stops 37:11	7:16 12:5	sustainabi	24:13,15
63:13 64:2	stories 16:19	28:9 29:16	21:15 22:6	26:24 28:25
stamp 2:3,5,23	16:24 18:23	29:19 58:23		30:13 31:5
63:9	36:19	61:14	T	32:20 33:7
stand 38:3	street1:5	subscribed	T 8:24,25,25	36:1,10 38:5
standard 7:15	3:19 4:4,8	65:19	10:23,24,25	38:25 39:14
21:5,16	7:16,23	substantial	take 9:17 13:1	41:8,23
standards 7:9	19:16,20	5:8,11 6:2	25:21,22	42:13 43:8
40:13	30:6,7,9	6:13 54:15	30:23 62:22	44:19 45:2
Stanley 46:8	31:11 37:7,9	54:24	taken 37:24	46:1 47:21
star 14:21	37:17 38:10	substantiated	65:7	47:22 50:8
25:3,7,14	39:25 43:25	5:10 6:4,15	talking 34:6	59:12,13
26:5,8,14	44:2 61:6,8	subterranean	tall 16:24	61:3,4,10
36:3,11,12	61:9 62:19	8:9 10:10	team 24:11	62:2,13,14
36:13 38:6	64:6	17:6 30:4	technologies	64:6
39:3,16 41:7	streetlight	suggest 45:10	21:18	Thanks 50:3
41:25,25	61:8,9	summary 12:3	tell 25:13	thereof 65:13
42:15 43:11	streetligh	Sunday 46:17	telling 25:7	thing 32:25
44:21 45:5	61:7	Sunland 27:4	47:12	36:7 44:23
46:6 47:25	stress 42:23	Super 36:21	ten 19:18	60:1
48:4 49:18	strict 60:5	superior 51:12	30:21,21	things 15:7
50:5 57:18	stringent 7:8	supervision	tenancies	20:25 51:19
start 50:23	strong 32:3	65:9	51:23	51:25
starts 37:11	strongly 45:9	supply 62:10	tenancy 35:21	think 33:7,15
53:25	45:9	support 4:25	tenant 33:10	39:8,9,9,13
Stass 44:22	structure 8:11	5:2 30:14	41:10 57:2	42:8 43:7
45:3	8:12 10:11	31:3 36:5	tenants 27:8	44:23 51:16
state 5:20 7:5	17:6 35:1	39:7 40:20	27:14,19	57:5 58:13
	•	•	•	•

50.00 60.0	6.15 5.14	10.6 6 0 15	00.00 07.6	16.1
59:22 60:2	6:17 7:14	17:6,6,7,15	22:23 27:6	various 16:1
thousands	22:11 23:3,6	17:19 19:12	27:11,22	24:11 37:11
43:20	23:7,14,17	20:1,12,13	30:4 34:6,7	vehicle 21:10
three 10:8	23:19 63:9	20:17 29:6	34:8,9,10,14	vehicles 36:25
11:11 14:6	traffic 28:13	30:2,4,10,16	34:15,21	versus 5:19
16:2,5,24	30:19 33:5	30:20 31:2	35:21 42:11	vesting 2:3,22
24:20 26:16	35:23 36:1	32:22,23	42:21 47:1	7:14 22:11
35:18 49:7	41:13 43:15	36:7,23,24	47:16 48:22	23:3,6,13
three-story	44:8 54:18	38:16,20	49:6,22,24	63:9
2:15 8:3	trajectory	39:22 40:7	53:11,12,15	vestment 21:2
20:14 30:3	35:9	42:10 45:18	53:17,17,21	veterans 22:4
throw 48:18	transcribed	two-level 8:11	54:6,12,14	vibration
time 4:21	65:8	two-story 3:9	56:5	29:23
12:20 14:6	transcript	9:23 30:8	University	view 30:6
24:10,14,17	65:16	type 36:9	5:20	Villalobos
24:20 25:8	transcription	types 15:18	unmuffled	36:20
25:14 26:11	65:8	typewriting	37:10	Vine 15:18
26:15,18	transit 15:18	65:9	unmute 25:8,14	virtual 14:8
29:9 30:11	15:22		25:15 26:14	24:21
31:16 32:17	transition		unmuted 13:24	visiting 12:15
32:25 36:1	41:1	unacceptable	26:23 29:1	14:3 63:19
43:18 46:2	translated	31:13	31:8 33:8	64:4
48:9,9 49:21	52:21	unbinding 65:15	unprofessi	Vista 3:11
50:5 51:7,22 52:24 55:4	translation	uncertain	31:13	7:24 9:24
	52:6,14 translator	45:11 46:1	unsealing 65:15	10:6,7 16:13
55:12,15 times 5:17	45:23 52:24	unconnected	updated 43:6	17:16,17,23 18:3,17
32:3 37:8,11	translators	61:21	62:18,19	19:13,14,16
timing 55:15	52:5,22	understand	urban 41:2	19:13,14,10
Tiny 14:4	transpired	32:21 55:14	Url 14:4	20:18,19
tinyurl.co	32:1	unethical	usage 40:8	28:16 29:5
12:15	transporta	45:24	use 9:1,4,6,8	30:6,19,21
tinyurl.co	7:8 21:9	Unfortunately	10:7,9 11:9	36:7,8 38:14
64:4	28:13	29:11	11:12 13:13	39:21 40:14
Title 21:16	travel 44:14	Unger 26:24	13:13,14,15	40:18
today 4:9 12:2	traveled 21:10	27:1	21:18,19	Vivian 29:3
15:6 19:21	Travis 42:17	UNIDENTIFIED	22:13,14,15	VMT 21:10
53:7 54:16	trenches 58:19	61:17,20	22:16 23:1,2	VMTs 21:12,13
54:22	58:20	62:9	24:5	void 65:17
today's 12:20	tried 14:21	unit 42:23	uses 2:20 3:16	voluntarily
63:24	Trustees 5:20	56:15 62:10	8:7 9:4,6	49:22
Tony 42:5	try 15:7 37:21	units 2:18,21	10:5 11:12	VTT-737181:7
top 35:22	trying 32:7	3:13 8:5,9	11:14 15:24	VTT73718 3:16
total 17:1	47:7	8:13 9:15	16:19,22	vulnerable
18:24 34:22	turn 19:22	10:2,9,14	17:4 19:10	33:4
48:15	25:5,19	11:2,3,3,4	36:18	
totaling 11:1	34:17	11:16 16:20	USGS 44:5 59:2	W
tower 2:14 3:9	two 3:9,25	16:25 17:2		wage 7:5 21:2
8:2 9:22	9:22 10:13	17:21 18:24	V	21:3,25
16:12 32:7	15:14,14	18:25 19:3,5	valid 65:3	wages 22:1
tract 2:3,23	16:12,16	19:6,7,8	variety 52:19	wait 50:6
	<u> </u>	l	1	1

want 28:14	26:1		37:5	23:14,19,23
31:11 32:2,6	WITNESS 65:19	Z	1317 44:20	24:2,3 27:15
37:1,22,23	Wonderful 15:2	Zemaitaitis	136 2:18 8:5	30:15 31:3
41:15 53:1		29:2,3,4	16:20 17:2	36:5,6 38:19
57:6,24	word 31:22	30:13	13858 1:16	7
-	words 46:25	zero 21:4 34:7		39:8 40:7,21
59:13 62:4	47:11 48:10	zone 8:23 9:7	65:4,25	42:8,19
wanted 24:11 59:16	work 15:10	9:10 10:22	14 5:1 20:21	50:15 53:8
	48:21 49:7,7	13:9 22:12	14th 2:4,6	53:10,19
wanting 58:15	working 42:18	22:16,20	15 21:14,22	54:8,13 56:5
wants 37:22	works 43:3	61:24 62:7,7	26:11 34:23	60:13,14
wasn't6:10	51:10	zones 58:8,14	37:25 55:20	63:10
waste 4:22 6:2	worldwide	58:14,15	55:25 56:8	20 16:19 39:24
water 21:18,19	45:11	62:1,11	17 3:13 10:2	20- 32:7
way 33:23	writing 13:3	zoning 16:4	11:2 18:25	20-story 2:14
35:23 48:13	25:20 35:17	37:22	19:8 22:7,23	8:2
51:6,10	44:16 45:16	Zoom 61:21	34:8,16	200 1:5 2014 55:7
53:18 54:1	45:18 47:6,7		42:21 48:22	
wayside 43:5 we'll 31:4	47:19 49:2,4 56:25	0	53:11,14 54:13 56:4	2015 5:20 6:18 2015111073 1:9
36:13 50:6	written 11:21	0206 33:8	1756 7:22	8:19 10:19
we're 23:9	11:23 12:2,4	1	1760 7:22	2017 7:2 21:23
28:19 34:3,5	13:5 51:7,8		1763 29:5	51:1
34:19 38:9	wrong 31:25	1 2:14,17 3:9	1765 3:10 7:23	2019 6:20
45:19 47:12	32:8,16	3:12 8:2,4	9:24 10:7	20201:3 2:4,6
59:17	36:17 38:4	8:11 9:22	28:16 40:13	2:23 4:1,6
we've17:10	30.1/ 30.4	10:1,12	1771 3:10 7:23	5:7 6:12
19:25 24:9	x	19:12 60:12	9:24 10:6	8:19,20
35:1 37:24		1,000 58:14	28:16 40:14	10:20,20
55:8 57:21	Y	1.162:9 1.16-acre2:16	1777 7:24	32:1,5 44:6
58:18 59:1	yards 2:12 3:5	3:4 8:4 9:25	1779 7:23,24	63:10,16
website 7:11	23:18,24	10 9:11 11:6	17th 4:1,5	65:21
12:17 63:20	yeah 2:6 43:6	21:12 22:24	18 22:18	20th 6:18
64:1	year 32:1 35:5	100 7:4 21:1	181519 6:7	10:19
WEDNESDAY 1:3	59:21	34:25 37:8	18th 5:7 6:12	21 12:11 23:25
week 63:17	years 11:4	100,0009:9	19 1:3 23:5	23:25
well-incomed	32:15 35:18	101 43:17	197 2:17 8:4	210 17:2
46:15	37:25 42:7	10211 27:3	16:20	213-978-1300
went 18:5 22:9	42:18 43:14	105 37 : 4	1971 58:5	63:19
west 7:23	46:10 48:11	11 20:5	1972 58:7	22 24:8
20:10 63:4	48:13 55:9	11:43 64:5		22nd 32:5
64:5	York 48:14	110300 6:20	2	23,833 3:5
WHEREOF 65:19	Yucca 7:23	12 20:8 37:24	2 2:15,20 3:6	23:24
whichever	15:13,15	12,570 2:19	4:25 5:2 8:3	24 21:16 43:14
60:12	16:4 19:16	8:6 16:21	8:8,12 9:18	24th 6:20 12:9
wide 55:21,25	19:20 31:11	17:3	10:16,17	63:16
56:3,7 58:14	39:10 41:10	1244 39:2	15:7 16:22	25 42:7
widening 61:8	44:10 45:8	13 2:20 5:21	18:1,8,9,10	252 19:2 34:10
wish 12:10	61:9 63:4	8:8 16:25	18:13,19	54:12
26:5	64:6	17:21 20:10	19:19 20:6,9	26 34:9
wishes 12:25	yuck 39:10	26:4 30:4	20:16,23	269 3:12 10:1
wishing 11:20			22:5,19 23:8	18:24
	I	I	I	I

27 2:8	6.6:1 17:4		
271 11:1	6.61 9:12		
27th 2:23 7:2	60 32:15 34:21		
63:10	6210 7:22		
288,171 11:8	6220 15:13		
2980 38:6	31:11 63:4		
2nd 65:20	64:5		
3	6224 7 : 23		
	65 37 : 8		
30 18:23 21:13	6792 36:11,12		
21:21 25:24	36:12		
30-story 3:8	6th 38:10		
9:22 32:7	7		
3098 26:22			
316,948 2:13	72:7		
3:8 8:1 9:21	7,760 3:15		
11:7	10:4 19:9		
35 5:21 21:19	73718 7:14		
39,375 3:3	23:7 63:9		
	765 19:13		
4	771 19:13		
40 10:14 48:13	7727 29:1		
41,944 23:18	7th 8:20 10:20		
41,994 2:11	31:20		
42-year 49:20			
43 19:4 27:6	8		
34:6,15	811:2		
53:17	80 37:10		
44 8:13	8052 31:7		
47 16:24	8077 45:4		
4719 49:17	8479 42:14		
4975 36:2	8511 48:3		
	85579-01 6:19		
5	8864 46:6		
5 21:17			
50 9:15 11:16	9		
21:20 48:11	90-acre 3:2		
50,0009:14	90012 1:5		
50,322 2:10	9187 43:10		
500 9:7 22:15	9318 41:24,25		
58:12			
501 39:18			
5087 39:15			
523 38:10			
525 1:4			
53 46:10			
55 11:4			
5733 41:6			
6			
6.6 19:12			
	I	<u> </u>	